

From: no-reply@nt.gov.au
To: [eia_consult](#)
Subject: NTEPA Consultation - Project Ares
Date: Tuesday, 18 August 2026 10:31:52 AM

Your details

First and last names: Jeremy amor

Post code of where you live: 0822

Email: [REDACTED]

Are you making this submission on behalf of an organisation: No

If yes, please enter the name of the organisation:

Submission contents

Submission details:

As a Territory resident, based in Darwin, I am writing to object to the proposed Project Ares data centre and gas power station at Murrarji Station. I have camped there many times and the migrating birds and wild life in the region always blows my mind . I dont want to see that habitat altered for AI. This lands the futures , AI is not needed if the cost is our water ,gas and land. Lake Woods lies downstream of this project, in the same catchment, and supports enormous numbers of waterbirds and migratory shorebirds. Nothing in the referral examines what the project's runoff and creek crossings would do to it. The project should not proceed until the NT EPA requires assessment of the project's impacts on Lake Woods and the creeks that feed it. A gas power station of around 1,000 MW would be built to run this data centre, larger than the Territory's biggest existing station. Where its gas would come from is left unstated, but in this part of the NT that means fracking the Beetaloo. The project should not be signed off until the NT EPA requires that the source of the gas be disclosed and its full lifecycle emissions assessed, including the upstream fracking. The Greater Bilby, a Vulnerable species and an Australian icon, is confirmed on the site, and the Grey Falcon and Yellow-spotted Monitor are expected here too. Yet the surveys so far are desktop-only and cover only part of the site. I oppose this unless the NT EPA requires field surveys for these threatened species across the whole project footprint before any decision on impacts. Up to 4 billion litres a year would be drawn from the Cambrian Limestone Aquifer for this one project, a large share of the region's allocation, on top of the demands of cotton, petroleum and a co-located ammonia plant on the same water. This must not be approved until the NT EPA requires a full, cumulative assessment of whether this level of groundwater extraction is sustainable before any approval. I do not believe this project should be approved until these matters are fully and independently assessed. I call on the NT EPA to require them in the Terms of Reference before any decision is made. Thank you for considering my submission. Jeremy Amor

Submission files

1: No file uploaded

2: No file uploaded

3: No file uploaded

4: No file uploaded

5: No file uploaded