

# TNG LIMITED

---

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT  
STATEMENT

DARWIN PROCESSING FACILITY

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS AND GLOSSARY

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

LIST OF APPENDICES .....	XXXIV
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XXXV
LIST OF TABLES .....	XXXVII
GLOSSARY 1 – PROJECT TERMINOLOGY .....	XLII
GLOSSARY 2 – ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS .....	XLII
GLOSSARY 3 – LIST OF UNITS .....	XLV
<b>1. INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Project Overview.....	1
1.2 Draft EIS Structure .....	5
<b>2. THE PROPONENT .....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 General Information .....	6
2.2 Company Structure .....	6
2.3 TNG’s Environmental History and Performance .....	9
2.3.1 Other TNG Proposals Undergoing Environmental Assessment .....	9
2.3.2 Corporate Governance.....	9
2.3.3 Environmental Policy .....	10
2.3.4 Environmental Management System.....	10
<b>3. REGIONAL SETTING.....</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1 Project Site.....	11
3.2 Project area tenure and zoning .....	13
3.2.1 Land uses.....	13
3.3 Reasonably Foreseeable Future Activities .....	17
3.4 Biophysical Setting.....	17
3.4.1 Climate .....	17
3.4.2 Bioregion .....	23
3.4.3 Topography .....	23
3.4.4 Land Units .....	25
3.4.5 Sensitive environments.....	27
<b>4. PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION .....</b>	<b>29</b>
4.1 Proposal History.....	29
4.2 Context.....	29

4.2.1	Products .....	29
4.2.2	Project Benefits .....	30
4.2.3	Future proposals/activities .....	31
4.2.4	Consequences of Not Proceeding with the Project .....	31
4.3	Alternatives.....	31
4.3.1	Alternative Locations for the Project Components.....	31
4.3.2	Alternative Processing Methods .....	33
4.3.3	Alternative Infrastructure Designs and Layout .....	33
4.3.4	Alternative Environmental Management Techniques .....	33
4.3.5	Alternative Energy Sources – Power Supply .....	35
4.3.6	Alternative Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Methods .....	35
4.4	Layout of the Processing Facility.....	36
4.5	Site Preparation and Construction Phase .....	36
4.5.1	Site Preparation .....	36
4.5.2	Temporary Facilities and Laydown Areas .....	38
4.5.3	Earthworks .....	38
4.5.4	Vegetation Clearing.....	39
4.5.5	Processing Facility Construction .....	40
4.5.6	Construction Materials Required .....	40
4.5.7	Construction Equipment .....	41
4.5.8	Construction workforce .....	42
4.5.9	Traffic (road and rail) .....	42
4.5.10	Construction Phase Waste Streams .....	43
4.6	Operational Phase (Production Process and Operation) .....	43
4.6.1	Traffic .....	43
4.6.2	Feed Stockpile .....	45
4.6.3	Processing .....	45
4.6.4	TIVAN® Process .....	47
4.6.5	Titanium Pigment Plant.....	48
4.6.6	Oleum Plant .....	50
4.6.7	Oxygen Plant .....	50
4.6.8	Steam .....	50
4.6.9	Cooling .....	50
4.6.10	Air.....	50

4.6.11	Materials Handling and Storage.....	51
4.6.12	Infrastructure .....	52
4.6.13	Water Requirements and Management .....	53
4.6.14	Power Supply .....	55
4.6.15	Communications .....	55
4.6.16	Operational Phase Waste Streams .....	55
4.6.17	Workforce .....	57
4.7	Decommissioning and Rehabilitation .....	57
4.7.1	Closure objective and completion criteria .....	57
4.7.2	Future land use .....	58
4.7.3	Decommissioning activities.....	58
4.7.4	Rehabilitation activities.....	61
4.7.5	Unexpected closure .....	61
4.7.6	Future Land Tenure.....	61
<b>5.</b>	<b>APPROVAL AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORK .....</b>	<b>62</b>
5.1	Environmental Impact Assessment.....	62
5.2	Environmental Assessment Guidelines.....	64
5.3	Other Approvals.....	65
5.3.1	Commonwealth Legislation .....	65
5.3.2	Current Agreements .....	72
<b>6.</b>	<b>STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT AND CONSULTATION.....</b>	<b>74</b>
6.1	Consultation Approach .....	74
6.1.1	Principles of Stakeholder Engagement .....	74
6.1.2	Objectives of Engagement .....	74
6.1.3	Methods of Consultation .....	74
6.2	Key Stakeholders.....	75
6.3	Targeted Consultation .....	76
6.3.1	Social Impact Assessment .....	76
6.3.2	Other Key Stakeholders .....	77
6.4	Consultation Outcomes .....	78
6.5	Future engagement opportunities.....	81
<b>7.</b>	<b>KEY ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS.....</b>	<b>84</b>
7.1	Environmental Factors and Objectives .....	84
7.1.1	Risk Assessment .....	85

7.2	Environmental Factor: Terrestrial Environmental Quality .....	86
7.2.1	NT EPA Objective .....	86
7.2.2	Technical Studies.....	86
7.2.3	Existing Environment .....	86
7.2.4	Potential impacts and risks .....	93
7.2.5	Acid Sulfate Soils .....	95
7.2.6	Mitigation and management .....	96
7.2.7	Monitoring and reporting .....	99
7.2.8	Statement of residual impact.....	101
7.3	Environmental Factor: Terrestrial Flora .....	102
7.3.1	NT EPA Objective .....	102
7.3.2	Technical Studies.....	102
7.3.3	Existing Environment .....	103
7.3.4	Potential Impacts and risks .....	116
7.3.5	Mitigation and management .....	121
7.3.6	Monitoring and reporting .....	123
7.3.7	Statement of Residual Impact.....	124
7.4	Environmental Factor: Terrestrial Fauna .....	125
7.4.1	NT EPA Objective .....	125
7.4.2	Technical Studies.....	125
7.4.3	Existing Environment .....	126
7.4.4	Potential impacts and risks .....	139
7.4.5	Mitigation and Management .....	143
7.4.6	Monitoring and Reporting .....	144
7.4.7	Statement of Residual Impact.....	145
7.5	Environmental Factor: Hydrological Processes.....	146
7.5.1	NT EPA Objective .....	146
7.5.2	Technical Studies.....	146
7.5.3	Existing Environment .....	146
7.5.4	Potential impacts and risks .....	159
7.5.5	Mitigation and management .....	162
7.5.6	Monitoring and reporting .....	162
7.5.7	Statement of residual impact.....	163
7.6	Environmental Factor: Inland Water Environmental Quality .....	164

7.6.1	NT EPA Objective .....	164
7.6.2	Technical Studies.....	164
7.6.3	Existing Environment .....	165
7.6.4	Potential impacts and risks .....	170
7.6.5	Mitigation and management .....	173
7.6.6	Monitoring and reporting .....	175
7.6.7	Statement of residual impact.....	177
7.7	Environmental Factor: Marine Environmental Quality.....	178
7.7.1	NT EPA Objective .....	178
7.7.2	Technical Studies.....	178
7.7.3	Marine Environmental Quality Standards.....	179
7.7.4	Existing Environment .....	183
7.7.5	Potential impacts and risks .....	186
7.7.6	Mitigation and management .....	197
7.7.7	Monitoring and reporting .....	208
7.7.8	Statement of residual impact.....	214
7.8	Environmental Factor: Benthic Habitat And Communities.....	215
7.8.1	NT EPA Objective .....	215
7.8.2	Technical Studies.....	215
7.8.3	Existing Environment .....	215
7.8.4	Potential impacts and risks .....	226
7.8.5	Mitigation and management .....	232
7.8.6	Monitoring and reporting .....	233
7.8.7	Statement of residual impact.....	235
7.9	Environmental Factor: Marine Fauna .....	236
7.9.1	NT EPA Objective .....	236
7.9.2	Technical Studies.....	236
7.9.3	Existing Environment .....	236
7.9.4	Potential impacts and risks .....	242
7.9.5	Mitigation and management .....	245
7.9.6	Monitoring and reporting .....	247
7.9.7	Statement of residual impact.....	248
7.10	Environmental Factor: Air Quality And Greenhouse Gases .....	249
7.10.1	NT EPA Objective .....	249

7.10.2	Technical Studies.....	249
7.10.3	Existing Environment - Air Quality .....	249
7.10.4	Existing Environment - Greenhouse Gases .....	254
7.10.5	Existing Environment – Climate Change .....	255
7.10.6	Potential Impacts and Risks .....	256
7.10.7	Mitigation and Management .....	259
7.10.8	Monitoring and Reporting .....	259
7.10.9	Statement of Residual Impacts .....	261
7.11	Environmental Factor: Social, Economic and Cultural Surroundings.....	262
7.11.1	NT EPA Objective .....	262
7.11.2	Technical Studies.....	262
7.11.3	Existing Environment and Values.....	262
7.11.4	Project Economic Details .....	272
7.11.5	Assessment of Opportunities.....	274
7.11.6	Potential Impacts and Risks .....	275
7.11.7	Mitigation and Management .....	284
7.11.8	Monitoring and Reporting .....	286
7.11.9	Statement of Residual Impact.....	288
7.12	Environmental Factor: Human Health And Safety .....	289
7.12.1	NT EPA Objective .....	289
7.12.2	Existing Environment .....	289
7.12.3	Potential Impacts and Risks .....	290
7.12.4	Mitigation and Management .....	294
7.12.5	Monitoring and Reporting .....	296
7.12.6	Statement of Residual Impacts .....	298
<b>8.</b>	<b>ENVIRONMENTAL OFFSETS.....</b>	<b>299</b>
8.1	Consideration of Offset Principles .....	299
8.2	Assessment of Significant Residual Impacts .....	300
<b>9.</b>	<b>ECOLOGICALLY SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>319</b>
<b>10.</b>	<b>CONCLUDING STATEMENT.....</b>	<b>322</b>
<b>11.</b>	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>323</b>
	Chapter 1 References .....	323
	Chapter 2 References .....	324
	Chapter 3 References .....	325

Chapter 4 References .....	326
Chapter 5 References .....	327
Chapter 7.1 References .....	330
Chapter 7.2 References .....	331
Chapter 7.3 References .....	332
Chapter 7.4 References .....	333
Chapter 7.5 References .....	335
Chapter 7.6 References .....	336
Chapter 7.7 References .....	337
Chapter 7.8 References .....	338
Chapter 7.9 References .....	340
Chapter 7.10 References .....	342
Chapter 7.11 References .....	343
Chapter 7.12 References .....	344
Chapter 8 References .....	345
Chapter 9 References .....	346
<b>12. APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>347</b>

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

---

- Appendix A: Terms of reference and general guidance
- Appendix B: Persons involved in preparing the EIS
- Appendix C: TNG Environmental Policy
- Appendix D: Environmental Management Plan
- Appendix E: Environmental Management Commitments by TNG
- Appendix F: Socio-Economic Impact Assessment
- Appendix G: Risk Assessment
- Appendix H: Technical Report for Soils
- Appendix I: Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan
- Appendix J: Biological Report
- Appendix K: Fire Management Plan
- Appendix L: Biodiversity Management Plan
- Appendix M: Technical Report for Hydrogeology
- Appendix N: Technical Report for Hydrology and Coastal Assessment



- Appendix O: Technical Report for Hydrodynamic Modelling of Discharge
- Appendix P: Technical Report for Pollutant Outfall Modelling
- Appendix Q: Technical Report for Marine Environmental Quality
- Appendix R: Marine Environmental Quality Monitoring and Management Plan
- Appendix S: Technical Report for Benthic Habitats and Communities
- Appendix T: Technical Report for Marine Fauna
- Appendix U: Technical Report for Air Quality
- Appendix V: Technical Report for GHG Emission Inventory
- Appendix W: Economic and Social Impact Management Plan
- Appendix X: Traffic Impact Assessment
- Appendix Y: Traffic Management Plan
- Appendix Z: Noise Impact Assessment
- Appendix AA: Emergency Response Plan
- Appendix BB: Biting Insects Management Plan

**LIST OF FIGURES**

---

Figure ES1: Location of Darwin Processing Facility – NT context.....iii

Figure ES2: Location of Darwin Processing Facility – National context.....iv

Figure ES3: Lot 1817 and the Darwin Processing Facility Site Layout.....viii

Figure 1-1: Location of the Darwin Processing Facility – National context ..... 3

Figure 1-2: Location of Darwin Processing Facility – NT context..... 4

Figure 2-1: Corporate structure of TNG Limited..... 7

Figure 2-2: Organisation structure of TNG Limited ..... 8

Figure 3-1: Locations of interest in the vicinity of the Project..... 12

Figure 3-2: Lot 1817 and Northern Territory Planning Scheme Layers ..... 14

Figure 3-3: Lot 1817 and land use in the vicinity of the Project ..... 15

Figure 3-4: Previously disturbed vegetation of the Project area..... 16

Figure 3-5: Köppen climate classification (Source: Bureau of Meteorology) ..... 18

Figure 3-6: Mean monthly maximum and minimum temperatures – Darwin airport (Source: BoM) ..... 19

Figure 3-7: Mean monthly rainfall and 30 year mean (1981-2010) – Darwin airport (Source: BoM) ..... 20

Figure 3-8: Mean monthly 9am and 3pm relative humidity (%) – Darwin airport (Source: BoM) ..... 20

Figure 3-9: Mean daily evaporation and 30 year mean (1981-2010) – Darwin airport (Source: BoM)..... 21

Figure 3-10: Wind roses for the Project area based on TAPM-CALMET modelling for the Year 2017 ..... 22

Figure 3-11: Site topography ..... 24

Figure 3-12: Land units of the Project area ..... 26

Figure 3-13: Sites of conservation significance surrounding the Project area ..... 28

Figure 4-1: Alternative Darwin Processing Facility sites ..... 34

Figure 4-2: Lot 1817 and the Darwin Processing Facility layout..... 37

Figure 4-3: Possible routes used to access the Darwin Processing Facility ..... 44

Figure 4-4: Darwin Processing Facility process flow diagram ..... 46

Figure 4-5: Titanium pigment Processing Facility Process flow diagram..... 49

Figure 4-6: Overall Processing Facility water balance ..... 54

Figure 5-1: Location of extractive resources licences at Lot 1817 ..... 73

Figure 7-1: Geology of the Project area..... 90

Figure 7-2: Acid Sulfate Soils of the Project area (Source: NRETAS 2008)..... 92

Figure 7-3: Biological study area..... 104

Figure 7-4: Vegetation associations and the proposed development envelope ..... 107

Figure 7-5: Previously disturbed vegetation of the study area ..... 108

Figure 7-6: Locations of conservation significant flora and large habitat trees ..... 110

Figure 7-7: Weeds of National Significance and weeds listed under the NT *Weeds Management Act* in the study area ..... 115

Figure 7-8: Fauna habitat in the study area..... 134

Figure 7-9: Hydrological features of Project area ..... 148

Figure 7-10: Storm tidal inundation mapping scenarios ..... 150

Figure 7-11: Concentrated flowpaths through the Project site..... 152

Figure 7-12: Dry season conceptual hydrogeological site model ..... 157

Figure 7-13: Wet season conceptual hydrogeological site model ..... 158

Figure 7-14: Flow paths in relation to proposed infrastructure ..... 160

Figure 7-15: Surface water and ground water sampling locations..... 166

Figure 7-16: Levels of Ecological Protection associated with the Project ..... 182

Figure 7-17: Wastewater outfall locations assessed for the Darwin Processing Facility..... 187

Figure 7-18: Elizabeth River outfall plots showing plume oscillation (Source: Baird 2019b) ..... 191

Figure 7-19: East Arm outfall showing dilution discharged wastewater (Source: Baird 2019b) ..... 192

Figure 7-20: 80<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles for temperature during the wet season ..... 193

Figure 7-21: Temperature profile of the cross sectional area during discharge ..... 194

Figure 7-22: Environmental Quality Framework as applied to the Darwin Processing Facility..... 199

Figure 7-23: Contingency management action for the commissioning phase ..... 202

Figure 7-24: Post-commissioning wastewater quality validation contingency management ..... 204

Figure 7-25: Contingency management framework for routine wastewater discharge quality ..... 206

Figure 7-26: Contingency management framework for ongoing marine environmental quality monitoring 207

Figure 7-27: Environmental monitoring framework including elevation points when certain EQC are exceeded..... 209

Figure 7-28: Ongoing marine environmental quality monitoring sampling locations..... 212

Figure 7-29: The Project development envelope, the study area and the mapped intertidal and subtidal BHC ..... 217

Figure 7-30: BHC mapping of Darwin Harbour prepared for the Ichthys Project (Geo Oceans 2011a) ..... 218

Figure 7-31: Schematic profile diagram of the typical pattern of mangrove zonation in Darwin Harbour... 220

Figure 7-32: GeoOceans 2011 Darwin Harbour BHC mapping outside of the Elizabeth River area..... 229

Figure 7-33: Mixing height [TAPM-CALMET, 2017] ..... 251

Figure 7-34: Sensitive receptor locations adopted for modelling assessment ..... 253

Figure 7-35: Local Government areas located within the greater Darwin area ..... 265

Figure 7-36: Industries of employment, Palmerston LGA ..... 266

Figure 7-37: Industries of employment, Bellamack NT ..... 267

Figure 7-38: Occupation statistics, Bellamack NT ..... 268

Figure 7-39: Sensitive receptor locations surrounding the Project site ..... 271

Figure 7-40: Indicative artist impression of the Darwin Processing Facility ..... 282

**LIST OF TABLES**

---

Table ES1: Darwin TIVAN® Processing Facility – summary ..... v

Table ES2: Darwin TIVAN® Processing Facility – proposed extent of physical elements ..... vii

Table ES3: Environmental Factors and objectives against which the Project impacts must be assessed ..... ix

Table ES4: Technical studies conducted by TNG to inform the EIS. .... ix

Table ES5: Summary of potential impacts, proposed mitigation and outcomes ..... x

Table 3-1: Proximity of the Project to locations of interest ..... 11

Table 3-2: Land units of the site (Department of Environment and Natural Resources, 2000) ..... 25

Table 4-1: Development areas..... 36

Table 4-2: Materials required for the whole construction period ..... 41

Table 4-3: Indicative construction vehicle fleet..... 42

Table 4-4: Average Project water requirements ..... 53

Table 4-5: Closure objectives and completion criteria for the Project ..... 58

Table 6-1: Key stakeholders for the Darwin Processing Facility ..... 75

Table 6-2: Stakeholder and community engagement conducted as part of the SEIA .....	77
Table 6-3: Summary of feedback provided by stakeholders between 2016 – 2019 .....	78
Table 6-4: Ongoing stakeholder consultation methodology .....	81
Table 7-1: Environmental Factors and objectives against which the Project impacts must be assessed .....	84
Table 7-2: Environmental Factors not requiring further assessment .....	85
Table 7-3: Terrestrial environmental quality studies undertaken for the Project.....	86
Table 7-4: Assessment criteria for soil quality.....	93
Table 7-5: Preliminary conceptual Site model.....	94
Table 7-6: Mitigation measures for terrestrial environmental quality.....	97
Table 7-7: Monitoring actions for terrestrial environmental quality .....	99
Table 7-8: Other inspection and reporting for terrestrial environmental quality .....	100
Table 7-9: Biodiversity studies undertaken for the Project.....	102
Table 7-10: Vegetation association descriptions and condition of the study area .....	105
Table 7-11: Potential impact pathways for flora .....	116
Table 7-12: Impacts to vegetation associations as part of the proposed clearing in the development envelope .....	117
Table 7-13: Sensitive and significant vegetation in the development envelope .....	118
Table 7-14: Conservation significant flora in the development envelope.....	119
Table 7-15: Flora and vegetation – potential impacts and mitigation measures .....	121
Table 7-16: Monitoring actions for terrestrial flora.....	123
Table 7-17: Other inspections and reporting for flora and vegetation .....	124
Table 7-18: Fauna studies undertaken for the Project .....	125
Table 7-19: Potential impact pathways for fauna .....	139
Table 7-20: Loss of habitat for conservation significant fauna .....	140
Table 7-21: Conservation significant fauna at risk from loss of habitat quality .....	141
Table 7-22: Fauna – potential impacts and mitigation measures .....	143
Table 7-23: Monitoring actions for terrestrial fauna and habitat .....	144
Table 7-24: Other inspection and reporting for terrestrial fauna and habitat .....	145
Table 7-25: Hydrological/ hydrogeological studies undertaken for the Project.....	146
Table 7-26: Tidal submergence statistics.....	147
Table 7-27: Storm tide statistics .....	149
Table 7-28: Elizabeth River peak flood level predictions (Cardno, 2014).....	149
Table 7-29: Groundwater levels – May 2019.....	154
Table 7-30: Summary of hydraulic test analysis .....	155

Table 7-31: Potential impact pathways for hydrological processes .....	159
Table 7-32: Mitigation measures for hydrological processes .....	162
Table 7-33: Monitoring and reporting for hydrological processes .....	163
Table 7-34: Inland environmental water quality studies undertaken for the Project .....	164
Table 7-35: Surface water quality baseline results.....	168
Table 7-36: Summary of groundwater field parameters .....	169
Table 7-37: Summary of groundwater quality parameters .....	169
Table 7-38: Potential pathways for impact on inland water environmental quality.....	171
Table 7-39: Mitigation measures for inland water environmental quality.....	173
Table 7-40: Monitoring and reporting for inland water environmental quality.....	175
Table 7-41: Other inspection and reporting for inland water environment environmental quality .....	175
Table 7-42: Marine environmental quality studies undertaken for the Project.....	178
Table 7-43: Beneficial uses and environmental quality objectives applicable to the Darwin Harbour region .....	180
Table 7-44: Limits of acceptable change allocated to levels of ecological protection as defined by NRETAS (2010) and adapted from ANZG (2000) .....	181
Table 7-45: Potential pathways for impact on marine environmental quality.....	186
Table 7-46: Wastewater design specification compared with the environmental quality criteria for contaminants.....	188
Table 7-47: Wastewater design specification compared with the environmental quality criteria for temperature and salinity .....	189
Table 7-48: Mitigation measures for marine environmental quality impacts.....	197
Table 7-49: Ongoing marine environmental quality monitoring components.....	210
Table 7-50: Ongoing marine environmental quality monitoring sampling locations, descriptions and justification for the sites.....	211
Table 7-51: Additional monitoring, inspections and reporting for marine environmental quality .....	213
Table 7-52: Benthic habitat and communities studies undertaken for the Project .....	215
Table 7-53: Mapping unit classifications, total area and relative composition of intertidal benthic habitat and community within the study area.....	219
Table 7-54: Habitat classifications, total area and relative composition for each subtidal BHC within Elizabeth River .....	223
Table 7-55: Community classifications, total area and relative composition of subtidal BHC within “high confidence” areas of Darwin Harbour .....	225
Table 7-56: Potential impact pathways for benthic habitat and communities .....	226
Table 7-57: Area of intertidal BHC proposed for removal .....	227

Table 7-58: Subtidal BHC classes, area and proportion of the disturbance footprint for the discharge pipeline installation within the Elizabeth River ..... 228

Table 7-59: Benthic habitat and communities – potential impacts and mitigation measures..... 232

Table 7-60: Monitoring and reporting for benthic habitat and communities ..... 233

Table 7-61: Other inspection and reporting for benthic habitat and communities ..... 234

Table 7-62: Marine fauna studies undertaken for the Project ..... 236

Table 7-63: Marine fauna studies undertaken in the vicinity of the Project area ..... 237

Table 7-64: Potential impact pathways for marine fauna ..... 243

Table 7-65: Marine fauna and fauna habitat potential impacts and mitigation measures ..... 246

Table 7-66: Monitoring and reporting for marine fauna and marine fauna habitat ..... 247

Table 7-67: Monitoring and reporting for marine fauna and marine fauna habitat ..... 247

Table 7-68: Air quality and greenhouse gas studies undertaken for the Project ..... 249

Table 7-69: Annual Stability class distribution predicted [TAPM-CALMET, 2017]..... 250

Table 7-70: Project air quality goals ..... 252

Table 7-71: Assigned air quality background level for the Darwin Processing Facility ..... 254

Table 7-72: Potential impact pathways for air quality, GHG emissions, and climate change. .... 257

Table 7-73: Air quality and GHG – potential impacts and mitigation measures ..... 259

Table 7-74: Monitoring activities for air quality and GHG..... 260

Table 7-75: Other inspections and reporting for air quality and GHG..... 261

Table 7-76: Social, economic and cultural studies undertaken for the Project ..... 262

Table 7-77: Details the population growth between 2011 and 2016..... 269

Table 7-78: Key outcomes of the interim financial model..... 273

Table 7-79: Potential pathways for impact on social, economic and cultural surrounds..... 276

Table 7-80: Predicted construction noise levels – neutral climatic conditions ..... 278

Table 7-81: Predicted construction noise levels – worst climatic conditions..... 278

Table 7-82: Predicted operational noise levels ..... 279

Table 7-83 Predicted rail noise levels ..... 279

Table 7-84: Social, economic and cultural aspects – potential impacts and mitigation measures ..... 284

Table 7-85: Monitoring for social, economic and cultural impacts ..... 286

Table 7-86: Reporting and other inspections for social, economic and cultural impacts ..... 287

Table 7-87: Potential pathways for human health impacts..... 290

Table 7-88: Human health - potential impacts and mitigation measures ..... 294

Table 7-89: Other inspections and reporting for human health ..... 297

Table 8-1: Consideration of offset principles..... 300

Table 8-2: Offset calculation table..... 301

Table 9-1: The principles of ecologically sustainable development, as outlined in the EPBC Act (DEWHA 2010b) ..... 319

**GLOSSARY 1 – PROJECT TERMINOLOGY**

Term	Meaning
The Project, Darwin Processing Facility, Processing Facility	The proposed construction and operation of the Darwin TIVAN® Processing Facility.
The Project area, can also be referred to as the Site	Lot 1817, Hundred of Ayers, Middle Arm Industrial Precinct, Wickham, NT. The Project area is approximately 507 hectares (ha) of which 40 ha is mangrove forest and intertidal zone, and 467 ha is terrestrial land.
Concentrate	Magnetite concentrate from the Mount Peake mine site.
Development Envelope	That part of the Project area that will be impacted by any development activities. The envelope, in hectares, includes the proposed buildings, infrastructure, associated access roads and services. The Development Envelope is approximately 264 ha.
Direct Environmental Impact	Impact caused by direct interaction of the Project with the Environment, at the same time and in the same place as construction and/ or operation activities are occurring.
Environmental Aspect	Element of an organisation’s activities, products or services that can interact with the environment.
Indirect Environmental Impact	Impact which is not a direct result of construction and/or operation activities. Generally occurs outside of the Development Envelope and may be realised through relatively complex pathways. Sometimes referred to as second or third level impacts, or secondary impacts.
Proponent	TNG Limited.
Northern peninsula	Northern part of Lot 1817, location of support infrastructure for the Processing Facility.
Southern peninsula	Southern part of Lot 1817, location of the major parts of the Processing Facility, along with some support infrastructure.

**GLOSSARY 2 – ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS**

Abbreviation	Meaning
AAPA	Aboriginal Areas Protection Authority
AASS	Actual Acid Sulfate Soils
ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
AHD	Australian Height Datum
ANZG	Australian and New Zealand Guideline
ASS	Acid Sulfate Soils
BCF	Burrell Creek Formation
BHC	Benthic Habitat and Communities
BMP	Biodiversity Management Plan
BoM	Bureau of Meteorology
BU	Beneficial Use
CBD	Central Business District
CH <sub>4</sub>	Methane
Cl <sub>2</sub>	Chlorine gas
CO	Carbon monoxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon dioxide



Abbreviation	Meaning
CO <sub>2</sub> eq	Carbon dioxide equivalent
CoPC	Contaminant of Particular Concern
CR	Critically Endangered
CSM	Conceptual Site Model
DD	Data Deficient
DENR	Department the Environment and Natural Resources
DLRM	Department of Land Resource Management
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
DoEE	Department of the Environment and Energy
DPIR	Department of Primary Industry and Resources
DVG	Default Value Guideline
EA Act	Environmental Assessment Act 1982
EC	Electrical Conductivity
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement, comprises: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Draft EIS (subject to public exhibition),</li> <li>• Supplement (addressing written comments of the Draft EIS)</li> <li>• Further info requested by NT EPA</li> </ul>
EMP	Environment Management Plan
EN	Endangered
Environmental Factors	Aspects of the environment as defined by the NT EPA
EP Act	Environment Protection Act 2019
EPBC Act	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999
EPBC Regulations	Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000
EQC	Environmental Quality Criteria
EQG	Environmental Quality Guideline
EQI	Environmental Quality Indicators
EQO	Environmental Quality Objectives
EQS	Environmental Quality Standard
ESCP	Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
ESD	Ecologically sustainable development as defined in Australia's National Strategy for ESD (1992)
Fe	Iron
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Iron (III) oxide / Ferric oxide
FMP	Fire Management Plan
GHG	Greenhouse gas
GSD	Gross Domestic Product
GSP	Gross State Product
HAT	Highest Astronomical Tide
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
HD	Highly Disturbed
HEV	High Ecological Value

Abbreviation	Meaning
IBC	Intermediate Bulk Container
IBRA	Interim Biogeographic Regionalisation for Australia
LAT	Lowest Astronomical Tide
LEP	Level of Ecological Protection
LGA	Local Government Area
LNG	Liquid Natural Gas
Ma	Marine
MAH	Monocyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
Mi	Migratory
MNES	Matters of National Environmental Significance, as listed under the EPBC Act
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet
NAG	Net Acid Generation
NEPC	National Environment Protection Council
NEPM	National Environment Protection Measure
NES	(matters of) National Environmental Significance,
NHMRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen dioxide
NOI	Notice of Intent
NPUG	Non-potable Groundwater Use Guidelines
NRETAS	NT Department of Natural Resources, Environment, The Arts and Sport
NT	Northern Territory
NT EPA	Northern Territory Environmental Protection Authority
NTG	Northern Territory Government
NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Unit
NWQMS	National Water Quality Monitoring Strategy
N <sub>2</sub> O	Nitrous oxide
MEQMMP	Marine Environmental Quality Monitoring and Management Plan
O <sub>3</sub>	Ozone
O2M	O2 Marine Consultants
PAH	Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PASS	Potential Acid Sulfate Soils
POL	Petrol, oil and lubricants
PV	Photovoltaic
ROV	Remotely operated vehicle
SEIA	Socio-Economic Impact Assessment
ESIMP	Economic and Social Impact Management Plan
SMD	Slightly to Moderately Disturbed
SO <sub>2</sub>	Sulfur dioxide
SO <sub>3</sub>	Sulfur trioxide
SoCS	Sites of Conservation Significance
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids

Abbreviation	Meaning
TiO <sub>2</sub>	Titanium dioxide
TOR	Terms of Reference; as prepared by the NT EPA for the proposed Project identifying the matters to be addressed in the EIS
TDS	Total dissolved solids
TIVAN®	TIVAN® leach circuit – TNG’s proprietary TIVAN® Process is a hydro-extraction process designed to produce very high-purity vanadium pentoxide, titanium dioxide and iron oxide
TNG	TNG Limited
TPH	Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons
TPWC Act	Territory Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act 2000 (NT)
TRH	Total Recoverable Hydrocarbons
TSF	Tailings Storage Facility
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VA	Vegetation Association
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Vanadium pentoxide
VU	Vulnerable
WQO	Water Quality Objective
WQPP	Darwin Harbour Water Quality Protection Plan

### GLOSSARY 3 – LIST OF UNITS

Unit	Meaning
%	Percentage
‰	Per-mille
°	Degree
GL	Gigalitre
GL/yr	Gigalitres per year
ha	Hectare
kL/h	Kilolitre per hour
km	Kilometre
kPa	Kilopascals
kW	Kilowatt
L/s	Litres per second
m	Metre
mbgl	Metres below ground level
mg/L	Milligram per litre
mm	Millimetre
ML	Megalitre – million litres
m/d	Metre per day
m/m	Metre per metre
Mt	Million tonnes

Unit	Meaning
MWh	Megawatt hour
mv	millivolts
m/y	Metre per year
m <sup>3</sup> /hr	Metres cubed per hour
PJ/y	Petajoules per year
µg/L	Micrograms per litre
µS/cm	Microseconds per centimetre
t	Tonne (1 t = 1000kg)
tpa	Tonnes per annum
tpd	Tonnes per day
tph	Tonnes per hour
vpd	Vehicles per day