# Pre-Referral Screening Report Project: Lei Lithium Project



EcOz Environmental Consultants was engaged by Lithium Plus Minerals Limited to undertake pre-referral screening of their proposal, Lei Lithium Project.

The purpose of the screening is to determine whether the proposal has the potential for significant environmental impact under *the Environment Protection Act 2019*. A significant environmental impact is defined by Section 11 of the *Environmental Protection Act 2019* as:

An impact of major consequence having regard to:

- the context and intensity of the impact; and
- the sensitivity, value and quality of the environment impacted on, and the duration, magnitude and geographic extent of the impact.

Pre-referral screening was undertaken using the tool provided in the *Guideline – Referring a Proposal to the NT EPA* https://ntepa.nt.gov.au/publications-and-advice/environmental-management. The screening records answers to the questions shown in Figure 2.

The following key information sources were referenced to conduct the screening:

- NR Maps: Natural Resource Maps NT (DEPWS, 2024)
- Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems Atlas (GDE Atlas; BoM 2024)
- Aboriginal Areas Protection Authority (AAPA) Abstract of Records
- Consultation with the NT Heritage Branch (search of the Northern Territory Heritage Register and the Heritage Branch archaeological database)
- Ecological Assessment of EL31091 (EcOz, 2024b)
- Supplementary Ecological Assessment (EcOz, 2024c)
- Stakeholder Engagement Plan (Lithium Plus et al 2024)
- Geochemical Characterisation of Proposed Waste and Ore Materials, Lei Lithium Project (EGi, 2024)
- Preliminary Groundwater Assessment (Groundwater Enterprises, 2023)
- Drilling Report Lei Lithium Deposit Groundwater Bore Drilling (CDM Smith, 2024)
- Lei Lithium Project Preliminary Surface Water Assessment (WRM Water & Environment, 2024).

The pre-referral screening results are documented in Table 1 below. The screening indicates that the Project has potential to impact 7 of the NT EPA's 14 environmental factors. The 7 factors require further assessment either because the environmental values associated with the factor may be significantly impacted, or because there was insufficient information available to make a conclusive assessment. Therefore, the Project is being referred under the *Environment Protection Act 2019*.

## Project overview

Lithium Plus propose to develop an underground mine at the Project, located in the Northern Territory, 30 km south of Darwin within mineral lease (application) 33874 on Fog Bay Road. The tenement forms a part of the Bynoe Pegmatite Field located on the Cox Peninsula in the Northern Territory, approximately 2 km south of the Lithium Developments (Grants NT) Pty Ltd (Lithium Developments), Finniss Lithium Project BP33 underground mine.

The key components of the Project are summarised below:

- Mining of the pegmatite deposit containing spodumene ore using box cut and portal entry with decline and underground.
- Total depth of the underground workings is approximately 700 m.
- Life of mine is approximately 7 years (inclusive of 12 months of construction, 68 months of operation and 6 months of rehabilitation and closure).

# Pre-Referral Screening Report Project: Lei Lithium Project



- Transfer of mined material to a Run of Mine (ROM) Pad located adjacent to the box cut/underground portal.
- Crushing and screening to produce Direct Shipping Ore (DSO) at an estimated peak production rate of 600 kilo tonnes per annum (kt pa). Feasibility Studies are currently being undertaken to optimise operation for a 750 kt pa production capacity at peak production.
- Establishment of Waste Rock Dumps (WRDs) for temporary disposal of chemically benign waste rock prior to being used for backfill underground and backfill of the box-cut on closure.
- Transport spodumene as DSO and/or beneficiated product to Darwin Port by road for export overseas.
- Site infrastructure components: Site access road, administration offices, employee facilities, laydown and storage areas, workshop, fuel storage and refuelling areas, internal haul roads; water storages, pumps and pipelines; drainage and sediment basins, run of mine pad, stockpiling areas, waste rock dumps, box cut and safety bund, portal and decline, ventilation, return air raise, explosives storage.

Total proposed disturbance footprint is <100 ha (Figure 1).



Path: Z:101 EcOz\_Documents\05 EcOz M-Files GIS\2024\EZ23203 - Lithium Plus NT EPA referral\1. Project Files\2. Report Maps\EZ23203 Referral\EZ23203 Referral.aprx



# Pre-Referral Screening Report Project: Lei Lithium Project



The pre-referral screening was undertaken with reference to the screening questions shown in Figure 2 and the results are documented in Table 1 below.



Figure 2. Pre-screening tool screening questions (Source: NT EPA 2021)

## **Project: Lei Lithium Project**

## Table 1. Pre-referral screening tool checklist prepared for the Lei Lithium Project

Theme	Environmental factor and objective	Indicative environmental values and sensitivities relevant to each environmental factor	Proponent's an	tions 1-5			
			Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5
Is the in enviror If so, de	ndustry type or activity proposed inherently hazardous with the potentia iment? bes the nature of the industry preclude impact sources and stressors be	I to give rise to multiple or major impact sources and environmental stressors with the potential to impact on the ing substantively reduced?	No ⊠ <u>Yes</u> □				
	1) Landforms  • distinctive features in the landscape, either geological or anthropogenic Ye						
	Objective: Conserve the variety and integrity of distinctive physical landforms	subterranean karstic terrain and faults	No				
		<ul> <li>craters, gorges, ranges, caves, massils, escarpments, plateaus</li> <li>monuments</li> </ul>	Uncertain				
		tourism related to landforms	Not Applicable				
	<ul> <li>Comments on whether or not a significant impact is likely: The Proposal will not have a significant impact on landforms because there are no distinct natural landforms within the Project area.</li> <li>2) Terrestrial environmental quality</li> <li>high quality soils, including chemical, physical, biological and aesthetic qualities that support life</li> </ul>		Yes				
	environmental values are supported and maintained.		No			$\square$	
		Uncertain					
LAND	<ul> <li>The Proposal will involve small-scale land clearing (&lt;100 ha).</li> <li>Erosion risk: <ul> <li>Locally, the highest elevations (32 mAHD) occur along a ridge line (Groundwater Enterprises 2023).</li> <li>Erosion risk is present due to slope greater than 2% (NRMaps: DE and covers 46% of the mineral lease. An Erosion and Sediment Cd (IECA 2008) and endorsed by a Certified Professional in Erosion a</li> </ul> </li> <li>Potential impacts of erosion resulting in sedimentation and increased Land and soil quality: <ul> <li>Hazardous materials:</li> <li>The Proposal will involve storage and handling of hazardous mate managed in accordance with a Safety Management Plan.</li> <li>There is no processing of ore on-site, thus the operation does not Potential impacts of hydrocarbon contamination to receiving surface a Acid sulfate soil (ASS):</li> <li>There is no mapped occurrence of ASS within the Project area. AS The potential impacts of on ASS from reduced groundwater levels (v Geochemical characterisation of waste rock and ore: Geochemical characterisation of the waste rock and ore material undelow risk of environmental impact. There is a high degree of certainty the standard measures.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	coincident with the Fog Bay Rd that is situated to the south-east of the deposit. The lowest elevations (4 mAHD) occu PWS 2024) within 42.8% of the proposed disturbance footprint. The predominate land unit within the proposed disturb ontrol Plan (ESCP) will be developed (specific to construction and operations) in accordance with the International Ero and Sediment Control. Construction and operation management plans will be developed that include mitigations for dus turbidity in receiving waterways is assessed in inland water quality. rials including bulk diesel fuel storage (volume) and explosives (ammonium nitrate). Hazardous material will be manag- use hazardous materials or chemicals that could contaminate the land and soils. and groundwater is assessed in inland water quality. SS mapping (NRMaps: DEPWS 2024) indicates a high probability of occurrence of ASS to the west of the Project area ia dewatering activities) is assessed in Inland water quality.	r along the Charlotte River to t ance footprint is 2a1. Land un sion Control Association Best I it. ed in accordance with the <i>Was</i> within the tidal reaches of the ach metal(loid)s on contact wit e will be managed in accordan	he south-we it 2a1 has a Practice Erc ste Manager Charlotte R h water, the ce with bes	est of the L slope of u sion Contr ment Pollu iver.	ei deposit p to 4% (N ol Guideli <i>tion Contr</i> resents ve guidelines	R Maps) nes <i>rol Act</i> and ery low to and

On closure, all hazardous material storages will be removed from site, and the site rehabilitated, and any minor soil contamination remediated



## **Project: Lei Lithium Project**

### Environmental factor and objective

Comments on whether or not a significant impact is likely:

The Proposal is considered to trigger referral because of a potential impact to land and soil;

• Integrity due to soil erosion, and

Theme

· Quality due to hydrocarbon contamination and oxidation of stockpiled waste rock and ore materials.

#### 3) Terrestrial ecosystems 'sensitive or significant' vegetation or buffers (as defined in the NT Land Clearing Guidelines) Yes Objective: Protect terrestrial habitats to maintain environmental • listed threatened species and their habitat (NT and Commonwealth) No values including biodiversity, ecological integrity and ecological • listed migratory species and their habitat (Commonwealth) Unce functioning. listed threatened ecological communities (Commonwealth) Not · locally endemic or restricted species and their habitat · species that are data deficient with unknown protection status · protected area or reserve, including Indigenous Protected Area biosecurity · high quality biological and functional diversity, integrity and services

Background information and environmental values:

### Vegetation and habitat:

Vegetation in disturbance footprint is common and widespread with no unique habitat values. The Proposal will involve small-scale land clearing (<100 ha).

Regional scale groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDEs) mapped from a National assessment (GDE Atlas: BOM 2024) suggests a low potential for terrestrial GDE within the Project area, located on a tributary of the Charlotte River, and a moderate potential for terrestrial GDEs more broadly across the Project area, within the disturbance footprint. There will be direct impacts via land clearing of the mapped terrestrial GDEs within the proposed disturbance footprint (<100 ha).

#### Significant or sensitive vegetation:

Of relevance to the Project area are three significant vegetation types: riparian vegetation, mangroves and old-growth forest (EcOz 2024a).

Within the Project area:

- Riparian vegetation edges the creek lines that cross the Project area (tributaries of the Charlotte River)
- Some large hollow-bearing trees were noted during an EcOz field surveys; however, the densities observed did not qualify for sensitive vegetation. Further assessment may be required once the footprint is refined. There are two significant vegetation types, south of the Project area, outside of the proposed disturbance footprint:
- Riparian vegetation surrounding the Charlotte River (field verified), the Charlotte River is also mapped from a National assessment (GDE Atlas: BOM 2024) as moderate potential aquatic groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDEs).
- Mangrove woodlands directly downstream the Project area (field verified).

The potential impacts to riparian vegetation, mangroves and groundwater dependant ecosystems (GDEs) in relation to groundwater drawdown and altered surface water flows are assessed in hydrological processes. The potential impacts to riparian vegetation, mangroves and groundwater dependant ecosystems (GDEs) in relation to altered water quality is assessed in inland water quality.

### Threatened Flora:

There is no identified threatened flora species in the Project area.

Targeted threatened flora surveys within the study area (North-west portion of proposal area) indicated that two possible threatened flora species in the project footprint – Darwin Cycad (Cycas armstrongii) and Typhonium praetermissum – are not present. The targeted survey for Typhonium praetermissum was undertaken in the NTG moderate and high likelihood modelled habitat for Typhonium praetermissum. An updated version of the Typhonium praetermissum habitat modelling was released by the NTG following the targeted surveys, which omits previously modelled habitat from the study area (EcOz 2024a).

### Fauna:

- Increased volumes of road traffic, including along the access road will increase the potential for animal mortalities due to roadkill.
- Project activities, particularly those that generate noise, vibration, light and dust, may disturb fauna using habitat within and adjacent to the project area.

#### Threatened Fauna:

Threatened fauna species (Northern Brushtail Possum and Black-footed Tree-rat) are known to occur within the Project area (EcOz 2024a and 2024b).

### Weeds

Weed infestations appear to be confined to previously disturbed areas. Weed density is relatively low. Introduction and spread of weeds have potential to increase bushfires and risks to biodiversity. However, weeds are managed and impact not likely significant (EcOz 2024a).

#### Comments on whether or not a significant impact is likely:

The Proposal is considered to trigger referral because of potential impact to terrestrial ecosystems due to:

- Direct loss of vegetation and habitat
- · Loss of significant or sensitive vegetation types
- · Fauna disturbance and reduced habitat quality
- Direct mortality of fauna
- · Loss threatened fauna species habitat and disturbance and/or loss of individuals.



### Proponent's answer to screening questions 1-5

	$\boxtimes$			
		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
ertain				
Applicable				

# Project: Lei Lithium Project

Theme	Environmental factor and objective	Indicative environmental values and sensitivities relevant to each environmental factor Propon			o each environmental factor Proponent's answer to screening questions				
	1) Hydrological processes	• the supply and quantity of water in surface water features including rivers, lakes, wetlands, swamps, creeks,	Yes						
	<u>Objective</u> : Protect the hydrological regimes of groundwater and surface water so that environmental values including ecological	<ul> <li>billabongs, intermittent streams, floodplains, mangroves and drainage lines</li> <li>the supply and quantity of water in groundwater features including aguifers, aguitards, water tables and the</li> </ul>	No						
	health, land uses and the welfare and amenity of people are	ecosystems they support (stygofauna, vegetation and groundwater dependent ecosystems)	Uncertain				$\boxtimes$		
	maintained.	declared beneficial uses	Not Applicable						
		<ul> <li>present and future uses, and users of water</li> <li>current or potential water supplies, including regional scale aquifers.</li> </ul>							
		<ul> <li>culturally important water features or other features affected by water level</li> </ul>							
WATER	<ul> <li>Background information and environmental values: Surface water flow regimes</li> <li>The Lei Project is located in the Finniss River region and falls within tit course that drains a sub-catchment of approximately 170 km<sup>2</sup>. The Cl as extending up the Charlotte River until a point around 300 m south-deposit and proposed box-cut and decline is flatter lying and falls gen There are no permanent surface water features within the Project are:</li> <li>Uncertainties exist with regard to alteration of surface water flows from Groundwater conditions</li> <li>There are no existing registered groundwater bores onsite to inform lot drilling of the bores confirmed the following (CDM Smith 2024):</li> <li>The geology of the drilled holes is all composed of the Burrell Cree</li> <li>The drilled boreholes typically yield an airliff flow rate of around 11 in the unweathered zone, where the fractures have not been filled</li> <li>The aquifer in the local area exhibits a shallow water table, with de from the observations in the BP33 bore location, the depth of weat</li> <li>The slug tests conducted in unweathered deeper bores have reverge pegmatites. This confirms that weathering in pegmatites can cause</li> <li>Although it cannot be confirmed how hydraulic conductivity change</li> <li>Water quality measurements indicate the presence of fresh water is</li> <li>Available DEM data and measured groundwater depths suggest the closest registered bore (RN041993) for rural stock and domestic. There is one surface water extraction licence located ~3.5 km north or Groundwater dependent ecosystems (GDEs):</li> <li>GDEs have been identified using the GDE Atlas, a national data set or Regional scale GDE mapping suggests a low and moderate potential is uncertain.</li> <li>Dewatering activities (box cut and underground) will result in a lowerin the south of the Project. While the Burrell Creek Formation has typica as a constant source of water to the underground mine and increase neighbouring mines are operational at the same time.<!--</td--><td>The Bynoe Harbour catchment. The area is drained by the Charlotte River, located 300 m south-west of the Lei pegnatite analotte River rises in land to the south-east and drains south-west flowing into Bynoe Harbour approximately 8 km (direct west of the Lei deposit. The Lei deposit is situated on the western side of a genite ridge with the land gradient falling to the 3. Flooding in the Charlotte River. a. Flooding in the Charlotte River has minimal impact within the mineral lease area. (WRM 2024). In construction of the mine site infrastructure. In construction of the mine site infrastructure. In construction of the mine site infrastructure. In construction of the mine site infrastructure zone as intersected which increased the airlift to 2-3 L/s. This indicates the with clay. If you for the LG4 well a fracture zone as intersected which increased the airlift to 2-3 L/s. This indicates the site flow of the two starts below ground level. This depth to water decreases (ie water levels rise) closer to the the ring in the region ranges from 35 to 55 meters below ground level, consistent with the weathering depth inferred in that aled that the hydraulic conductivity ranges between 0.13 to 6.8 m/day. The LG1-5 has the lowest hydraulic conductivity, which could be interpreted as a potential confining bed to the lower, more permeat with different strikes, secondary permeability and anisotropy have been observed during drilling. Flow has been noted in the aquifer. The EC ranges from 85 to 210 µS/cm, with the lowest observed water supply bores are located over if the groundwater flows southwest toward the Charlotte River. If Australian GDEs developed by the Bureau of Meteorology (GDE Atlas: BOM, 2024). terrestrial GDE within the Project area, and a moderate potential for aquatic GDEs along the Charlotte River to the south hydrough permeability, there is potential for the mine and may lead to a reduction in groundwater availability to tere if dowater revels in the aquifer surrounding the mine and may lea</td><td>at its closest point. The Charle line) downstream of the Lei F e south-west toward the Charle ember to December 2023 to in nat groundwater flow could be Charlotte River. Although the bore location. ecorded at 0.05 m/day, and is ble profiles. to increase in certain depths of LG1 (LG1-D). r 7 km from the Lei deposit.</td><td>otte River i Project. Est rlotte River aform the g e assumed depth of th s located in where fract ea. The pres</td><td>s a small, e cuary condi . The area . The area to occur in e groundwate ures are pr sence/abse groundwat his occurs itial or cum</td><td>phemeral tions are r to the north r model. T fractures ater is diffe ered zone esent.</td><td>water napped th of the located erent of e GDEs bore to may act pacts if</td></li></ul>	The Bynoe Harbour catchment. The area is drained by the Charlotte River, located 300 m south-west of the Lei pegnatite analotte River rises in land to the south-east and drains south-west flowing into Bynoe Harbour approximately 8 km (direct west of the Lei deposit. The Lei deposit is situated on the western side of a genite ridge with the land gradient falling to the 3. Flooding in the Charlotte River. a. Flooding in the Charlotte River has minimal impact within the mineral lease area. (WRM 2024). In construction of the mine site infrastructure. In construction of the mine site infrastructure. In construction of the mine site infrastructure. In construction of the mine site infrastructure zone as intersected which increased the airlift to 2-3 L/s. This indicates the with clay. If you for the LG4 well a fracture zone as intersected which increased the airlift to 2-3 L/s. This indicates the site flow of the two starts below ground level. This depth to water decreases (ie water levels rise) closer to the the ring in the region ranges from 35 to 55 meters below ground level, consistent with the weathering depth inferred in that aled that the hydraulic conductivity ranges between 0.13 to 6.8 m/day. The LG1-5 has the lowest hydraulic conductivity, which could be interpreted as a potential confining bed to the lower, more permeat with different strikes, secondary permeability and anisotropy have been observed during drilling. Flow has been noted in the aquifer. The EC ranges from 85 to 210 µS/cm, with the lowest observed water supply bores are located over if the groundwater flows southwest toward the Charlotte River. If Australian GDEs developed by the Bureau of Meteorology (GDE Atlas: BOM, 2024). terrestrial GDE within the Project area, and a moderate potential for aquatic GDEs along the Charlotte River to the south hydrough permeability, there is potential for the mine and may lead to a reduction in groundwater availability to tere if dowater revels in the aquifer surrounding the mine and may lea	at its closest point. The Charle line) downstream of the Lei F e south-west toward the Charle ember to December 2023 to in nat groundwater flow could be Charlotte River. Although the bore location. ecorded at 0.05 m/day, and is ble profiles. to increase in certain depths of LG1 (LG1-D). r 7 km from the Lei deposit.	otte River i Project. Est rlotte River aform the g e assumed depth of th s located in where fract ea. The pres	s a small, e cuary condi . The area . The area to occur in e groundwate ures are pr sence/abse groundwat his occurs itial or cum	phemeral tions are r to the north r model. T fractures ater is diffe ered zone esent.	water napped th of the located erent of e GDEs bore to may act pacts if		
	Alteration of surface water flows from construction of the mine site     Mine site inundation	infrastructure with potential to cause							



# Project: Lei Lithium Project

Theme	Environmental factor and objective	Indicative environmental values and sensitivities relevant to each environmental factor	Proponent's answer to screening qu			ions 1-5	
	• Reduced surface water availability to the Charlotte	River and its tributaries.					
	2) Inland water environmental quality	• the quality of water in surface water features including rivers, lakes, wetlands, swamps, creeks, billabongs, intermittent	Yes	$\boxtimes$			
	Objective: Protect the quality of groundwater and surface water so	streams, floodplains, mangroves and drainage lines	No	П	П		Π
	that environmental values including ecological health, land uses and	the quality of water in groundwater features including aquifers and water tables					
	the welfare and amenity of people are maintained.	Uncertain					
		<ul> <li>current or potential water supplies, including regional scale aquifers</li> </ul>	Not Applicable				
		potability / drinkability					
		culturally important water features					
	Background information and environmental values:						
	Disturbance footprint:						
	Erosion risk is present due to slope greater than 2% (NRMaps: DEPV and covers 46% of the mineral lease.	VS 2024) within 42.8% of the proposed disturbance footprint. The predominate land unit within the proposed disturbance foo	tprint is 2a1. Land unit 2a1 h	nas a slop	e of up to	4% (NR M	laps)
	However, the proposed disturbance is small-scale (<100 ha), and an endorsed by a Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Contro	Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP), will be developed in accordance with the International Erosion Control Associat I for implementation onsite during construction and operations.	ion Best Practice Erosion Co	ontrol Gui	delines (IE	CA 2008)	, and
	Surface water						
	The Project lies within two Beneficial Use Declaration areas:         Fog Bay Area - beneficial use of aquatic ecosystem protection; and         Darwin Rural Water Control District (DRWCD), beneficial use of agriculture, aquaculture, public water supply, environment, cultural, industry, rural stock and domestic, mining activity and petroleum activity.						
	<ul> <li>No known sources of contamination.</li> </ul>						
	• The Project area is drained by the Charlotte River, a small, ephem	eral water course located 300 m south-west of the Lei Project, flowing into Bynoe Harbour ~8 km downstream (direct line).	Estuary conditions are mapp	ed as exte	ending up	the Charlo	tte River
	until a point around 300 m south-west of the Lei Project and is influ Background surface water quality within the Charlotte River unstre	uenced by the movement of water from Bynoe Harbour due to tidal activity. In the mine is freshwater, adjacent to the mine is fresh and brackish/saline, and further downstream is saline. The pH is	slightly acidic (>5 5nH) to p	autral (<7	5nH)		
	<ul> <li>Background surface water quality within the Charlotte River upstream of the mine is freshwater, adjacent to the mine is fresh and brackish/saline, and further downstream is saline. The pH is slightly acidic (&gt;5.5pH) to neutral (&lt;7.5pH).</li> <li>Surface water quality in the receiving Charlotte River could be affected by discharge of mine impacted water (sediments, hydrocarbon contamination (leaks and spills)) elevated nutrients, metals/metalloids from mined waste and ore, discharge of exc</li> </ul>						cess
	water dewatered from the underground mine) and have impacts or	n significant vegetation (mangroves and riparian vegetation) and aquatic ecosystems downstream of the mine site.				•	
	<ul> <li>ASS mapping (NRMaps 2024) indicates a high probability of occur</li> </ul>	rrence of ASS to the west of the Project area within the tidal reaches of the Charlotte River.					
	Groundwater						
	The closest registered bore (RN041993) drilled in 2020 to 42m depth Baseline water quality monitoring indicates that groundwater is fresh, water aquatic guidelines. It is anticipated that groundwater inflows de	for rural stock and domestic purposes located 2.6 km south of the Lei deposit. All other registered water supply bores are lo with EC ranging from 85 to 210 μS/cm. There are naturally elevated nutrients (TP and TN) and filtered metals/metalloids (Al, ewatered from the box cut and underground mine may contain these elevated concentrations of nutrients and metals and metal	cated over 7 km from the Le As and Zn) that exceed the ay impact surface water qual	i deposit. ANZG (20 ity in the r	)18) freshv eceiving w	vater and aters.	marine
	While the Burrell Creek Formation has typically low permeability, the	e is notential for the mine and the Charlotte River to be connected by fracture networks due to its provimity and location alo	and strike. If this occurs the	river may	act as a co	netant co	urce of
	water to the underground mine and increase dewatering requirement to migrate towards the underground mine due to changes in groundw	s. Groundwater is potentially of good quality beneath the Lei deposit but may be more saline near the Charlotte River due to a structure due to a solution at a solution at the charlotte River due to be connected by matching and for the charlotte River due to a solution at the charlotte River due to be connected by matching and for the charlotte River due to a solution at the charlotte River due to be connected by matching and for the charlotte River due to a solution at the charlotte River due to be connected by matching and for the charlotte River due to a solution at the charlotte River due to a soluti	b tidal influence. There is po	tential for	poorer qua	ality groun	dwater
	Commente en whether er net a significant impact is likely.						
	The Proposal is considered to trigger referral because of potential sig	nificant impact to the inland water environmental quality due to mining activities impacting water quality through.					
	<ul> <li>Sediment laden runoff and increased turbidity contaminating surface</li> </ul>	ce water.					
	• Hydrocarbon contamination (leaks and spills) contaminating surface	ce and groundwater.					
<ul> <li>Elevated nutrients and metals/metalloids from extraction of groundwater impacting surface water quality</li> <li>Elevated metals/metalloids from mined waste and ore stockpiles contaminating surface and groundwater</li> <li>Saline intrusion through dewatering activities and uncertainty related to the connectivity between the Charlotte River and the aguifer</li> </ul>							
<ul> <li>Release of contaminants from exposure of acid sulfate soil (ASS) within Charlotte River due to the lowering of the groundwater from dewatering activities (uncertain).</li> </ul>							
	3) Aquatic ecosystems	threatened species	Yes				
	Objective: Protect aquatic habitats to maintain environmental values	the health of the biota in inland waterways	No				
	including biodiversity, ecological integrity and ecological functioning.	the habitats that support the lifecycle of aquatic biota	INO				
		groundwater dependent ecosystems	Uncertain	$\boxtimes$	X		$\boxtimes$
		Ramsar wetlands     high quality biological and functional diversity integrity and each incentional	Not				
		Inigh quality piological and functional diversity, integrity and services	Applicable				

3) Aquatic ecosystems	threatened species	Yes
Objective: Protect aquatic habitats to maintain environmental values	the health of the biota in inland waterways	No
including biodiversity, ecological integrity and ecological functioning.	the habitats that support the lifecycle of aquatic biota	INO
	groundwater dependent ecosystems	Unce
	Ramsar wetlands	Not
	<ul> <li>high quality biological and functional diversity, integrity and services</li> </ul>	Appli



## **Project: Lei Lithium Project**

### Environmental factor and objective Proponent's answer to screening questions 1-5 Theme Indicative environmental values and sensitivities relevant to each environmental factor Background information and environmental values: Surface water •The area is drained by the Charlotte River, a small, ephemeral water course located 300 m south-west of the Lei Project, flowing into Bynoe Harbour ~8 km downstream (direct line). Estuary conditions are mapped as extending up the Charlotte River until a point around 300 m south-west of the Lei Project and is influenced by the movement of water from Bynoe Harbour due to tidal activity. There are no permanent surface water features within the Project area. Significant vegetation Riparian vegetation edges the Charlotte River immediately to the south/south-west of the Project its tributaries that cross the Project area. · Mangrove woodlands occur directly downstream (South-east) of the Project area. GDEs • Regional scale GDE mapping suggests a moderate potential for aquatic GDEs along the Charlotte River to the south/south-west. Satellite imagery suggests there may be permanent water in the Charlotte River to the south-west of the Lei deposit, which is often a pre-cursor for GDE presence. Comments on whether or not a significant impact is likely: The potential impact to aquatic ecosystems will depend on the duration and extent of groundwater drawdown associated with dewatering, and the extent to which that affects surface flows in the nearby Charlotte River. As there is some uncertainty about impacts to groundwater hydrology, the potential for impacts to aquatic ecosystems is uncertain. The Proposal is considered to trigger referral because of potential significant impact to aquatic ecosystems due to: Altered surface water and groundwater hydrology reducing habitat quality and biodiversity Degraded and/or altered water quality and temporal variation of water quality available, impacting habitat quality (including mangroves) and biodiversity. Decrease in habitat guality from the accumulation of sediments in mangroves from sediment laden runoff. · processes that support marine ecosystems such as coral reefs and mangroves Yes 1) Coastal processes processes that support coastal morphology such as beaches, rock bars, and sandbars Objective: Protect the geophysical and hydrological processes that No · tidal creeks, deltas and river mouths shape coastal morphology so that the environmental values of the storm surge protection coast are maintained. Unce unique coastal landforms Not Background information and environmental values: • The Project area is drained by the Charlotte River, a small, ephemeral water course located 300 m south-west of the Lei Project. The Charlotte River flows towards the North-west into Bynoe Harbour, approximately 8 km (direct line) from the western boundary of ML(A) 33874 to the mapped coastline (NRMaps: DEPWS 2024). Estuary conditions are mapped as extending up the Charlotte River until a point around 300 m south-west of the Lei Project and is influenced by the movement of water from Bynoe Harbour due to tidal activity. • Significant vegetation - mangrove woodlands occur directly downstream (South-east) of the Project area. The mangrove community is located in the landward zone, where tidal inundation is irregular and infrequent inundation, therefore, potential impacts to mangroves, for the purpose of this assessment, are assessed in hydrological processes, inland water quality and aquatic ecosystems. Comments on whether or not a significant impact is likely: SEA Project activities are unlikely to impact coastal processes due to the distance from the coast. For the purpose of this referral, the marine boundary is the mapped coastline (NRMaps) at the mouth of the Bynoe Harbour, approximately 8 km (direct line) downstream of the of the Project area. Yes · quality of the water, sediment and biota 2) Marine environmental quality physical parameters that support fishing and aquaculture Objective: Protect the quality and productivity of water, sediment No · physical parameters that support recreation and aesthetics and biota so that environmental values are maintained. industrial water supply Unce cultural and spiritual values Not Background information and environmental values: • The Project area is drained by the Charlotte River, a small, ephemeral water course located 300 m south-west of the Lei Project. The Charlotte River flows towards the North-west into Bynoe Harbour, approximately 8 km (direct line) from the western boundary of ML(A) 33874 to the mapped coastline (NRMaps: DEPWS 2024). • Estuary conditions are mapped as extending up the Charlotte River until a point around 300 m south-west of the Lei Project and is influenced by the movement of water from Bynoe Harbour due to tidal activity.

Comments on whether or not a significant impact is likely:



	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
ertain				
Applicable				

	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
ertain				
Applicable				

# Project: Lei Lithium Project

Theme	Environmental factor and objective	Indicative environmental values and sensitivities relevant to each environmental factor	Proponent's answer to screening questions 1-5				
	Project activities are unlikely to impact marine environmental quality d (direct line) downstream of the of the Project area. The potential impa	ue to the distance from the Bynoe Harbour. For the purpose of this referral, the marine boundary is the mapped coastline cts to the receiving water quality of the Charlotte River are captured in inland water quality.	(NRMaps) at the mouth of	the Bynoe H	larbour, al	oproximate	ely 8 km
	3) Marine ecosystems	• conservation significant marine and coastal fauna and critical habitat such as nesting, breeding or foraging habitat	Yes				
	Objective: Protect marine habitats to maintain environmental values	<ul> <li>conservation significant marine and coastal benthos (seagrass meadows, sponge gardens, coral reefs, managrave communities and coastal benthos)</li> </ul>	No		$\square$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
	including biodiversity, ecological integrity and ecological functioning.	<ul> <li>groups of species (species richness and assemblages of species)</li> </ul>	Uncertain				
		<ul> <li>ecological functions and processes</li> </ul>	Not Applicable				
		high quality biological and functional diversity, integrity and services					
	<ul> <li>boundary of ML(A) 33874 to the mapped coastline (NRMaps: DEP</li> <li>Estuary conditions are mapped as extending up the Charlotte Rive</li> <li>Significant vegetation - mangrove woodlands occur directly downsi mangroves, for the purpose of this assessment, are assessed in hy</li> <li>Comments on whether or not a significant impact is likely:</li> <li>Project activities are unlikely to impact marine ecosystems due to the line) downstream of the of the Project area.</li> </ul>	WS 2024). er until a point around 300 m south-west of the Lei Project and is influenced by the movement of water from Bynoe Harbour tream (South-west) of the Project area. The mangrove community is located in the landward zone, where tidal inundation is ydrological processes, inland water quality and aquatic ecosystems. distance from the Bynoe Harbour. For the purpose of this referral, the marine boundary is the mapped coastline (NRMaps)	due to tidal activity. irregular and infrequent ir at the mouth of the Bynoe	hundation, th Harbour, ap	erefore, p oproximate	otential im ely 8 km (c	pacts to lirect
		ambient air quality in the local airshed	Yes				
	1) Air quality	the chemical, physical and biological characteristics of quality air	No				
	• the biological processes that depend on impact so that environmental values are maintained.	the biological processes that depend on the air quality					
			Not				
	Rackground information and onvironmental values:		Applicable				
	<ul> <li>The Proposal will involve small-scale land clearing (&lt;100 ha) that we the closest sensitive receptor is two rural residential properties loce.</li> <li>There is a high level of confidence that the project will comply with management will be implemented on site using standard measures.</li> <li>Comments on whether or not a significant impact is likely: Project activities are unlikely to significantly impact air quality.</li> </ul>	will generate dust and use of diesel vehicles and machinery; cated 3.3 km (direct line) south of Lei Project. The nearest town is Berry Springs, approximately 25 km (direct line) east of the the National Environment Protection (Ambient Air Quality) Measure which will be used to evaluate performance. Project ac s.	ne Project. tivities have an inherently	low risk to a	ir quality a	s dust	
	2) Atmospheric processes	a contribution to the NT's greenhouse gas emissions through nearing or reaching emission thresholds for:	Yes				
R	Objective: Minimise greenhouse gas emissions so as to contribute to	<ul> <li>industrial projects of 100 000 tCO2-e scope 1 emissions per year not counting emissions generated from land clearing</li> </ul>	No	X	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	X
	the NT Government's goal of achieving net zero greenhouse gas	<ul> <li>land use projects of 500 000 tCO2-e scope 1 emissions from single or cumulative land clearing actions</li> </ul>	Uncertain				
	emissions by 2050.		Not Applicable				
	<ul> <li>Background information and environmental values:</li> <li>The Proposal will involve small-scale land clearing (&lt;100 ha) that we Main source of emissions will be from the project power supply, where a requirements to administration, lighting, and water plants.</li> <li>The Project is a very similar operation to the proposed Lithium Dewerman There is a high level of confidence that the Lei Project will not trigg</li> <li>The National Environment Protection (Ambient Air Quality) Measure A GHG emissions assessment will be undertaken to consider the impact of the same and the significant impact is likely:</li> <li>Project activities are unlikely to significantly impact atmospheric procession.</li> </ul>	will generate dust and use of diesel vehicles and machinery; hich is currently from diesel generators (base case). Feasibility of connecting to mains power is currently under consideration velopments BP33 Underground mine. <i>BP33 proposed activities will not trigger reporting threshold requirements for greenhouse</i> her reporting threshold requirements for greenhouse gas emission and unlikely to trigger action associated with net zero pol- re will be used to evaluate performance. acts of climate change and assess potential energy sources (both renewable and non-renewable) and appropriate measure esses.	on. An on-site solar farm w ouse gas emission (Core L icy. es to reduce emissions to a	II provide lov thium NOI 2 as low as rea	w-voltage 020). asonably p	power racticable	



## **Project: Lei Lithium Project**

Theme	Environmental factor and objective	Indicative environmental values and sensitivities relevant to each environmental factor	Proponent's answer to screening question						
	1) Community and economy	communities, towns and suburbs where people live	Yes	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$		
	Objective: Enhance communities and the economy for the welfare	community aspirations for liveable environment and healthy lifestyles,			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$			
	amenity and benefit of current and future generations of	<ul> <li>good amenity – air quality, noise, aesthetics</li> </ul>	Uncertain						
	l'erritorians.	<ul> <li>access to social infrastructure and services including transport and logistics</li> <li>access to natural resources including bush food</li> <li>recreational use of the natural or built environment (e.g. fishing, cycling, sports, picnics)</li> <li>species of social, cultural, livelihood and or economic importance (terrestrial, aquatic and marine biota)</li> <li>participation in jobs, businesses and education</li> <li>existing industries such as agriculture, pastoralism, tourism, fisheries</li> </ul>							
	Background information and environmental values:								
PEOPLE	<ul> <li>The nearest community is Berry Springs, approximately 25 km (direct line) east of the Project.</li> <li>The Project is located on vacant crown land.</li> <li>Approximately 33 km (direct line) south of the Project are two private rural residence located in Parcel 2511 and 2512.</li> <li>Fog Bay Road intersects ML(A) 33874, with the mine infrastructure proposed to be located North of the road.</li> <li>Haulage of DSO to the Darwin Port will increase traffic along the public roads.</li> <li>-3.5 km on Fog Bay Road and ~36 km of Cox Peninsula Rd will be used to haul ore from the Project to Darwin Port.</li> <li>No public access to the Project area.</li> <li>No accommodation facilities proposed at the Project, it will be a drive in/out operation with a portion of the workforce to be sourced from Darwin and surrounds.</li> <li>The Project has potential to improve livelihood for local people with increased jobs and business opportunities.</li> <li>Community previously exposed to Lithium mines in the area.</li> <li>Cumulative impacts on the community if the Lithium Developments operations (Grants) and this Project is in operation concurrently.</li> <li>Current life of mine proposed to be ~7 years from construction to closure.</li> <li>Mine rehabilitation and closure plan will be developed and implemented in accordance with best practice.</li> </ul> Comments on whether or not a significant impact to improve the to community and economy due to: <ul> <li>Reduced sense of safety with increased traffic on local roads</li> <li>Potential pressures on emergency and social services</li> <li>Boost local economy through employment opportunities and support to local businesses</li> <li>Change in community composition, cohesion or character.</li> </ul>								
	2) Culture and horitoge	Aboriginal cultural values	Yes						
	Objective: Protect culture and heritage	sacred sites	No			$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$		
	<u>Objeditve</u> . I Toleol culture and hentage.	<ul> <li>the Lerritory's natural and built heritage</li> <li>declared heritage places and objects protected under the Heritage Act 2011 (NT) such as:</li> </ul>							
	<ul> <li>declared heritage places and objects protected under the Heritage Act 2011 (N1) such as.</li> <li>any Aboriginal or Macassan archaeological place or object (coastal mounds and middens, rock art, stone arrangements, quarries, artefacts, graves, burial sites and ancestral remains)</li> <li>underwater cultural heritage (isolated objects, shipwrecks, plane wrecks, underwater cables and evidence of Aboriginal occupation prior to sea level rise)</li> <li>built heritage (colonial buildings and other historic buildings)</li> <li>defence structures (defensive positions and airfields)</li> <li>natural features (meteorite impact sites, palaeontological sites, springs, trees)</li> <li>world heritage</li> <li>underwater cultural heritage protected under the Underwater Cultural Heritage Act 2018 (Cth)</li> <li>Aboriginal rights and interests, including right of access</li> </ul>	Not Applicable							
	Background information and environmental values: A search of the Northern Territory Heritage Register and Heritage Bra Aboriginal archaeological sites and is in land systems that support the will be undertaken within the proposed disturbance area prior to cleari	nch archaeological database (July 2024) found that there are no known Aboriginal or Macassan archaeological places with likelihood of further unrecorded sites. The likelihood of possible unrecorded Aboriginal or Macassan archaeological places ng activities being undertaken. The search found that there are no nominated. provisionally declared or declared heritage n	in the MLA33874. However, has been assessed as poss places or objects within the s	the area is ible or like	s in proxin ly. An arc	nity to kno haeologic	wn al survey		

There is no current AAPA Authority Certificate for the ML(A) 33874. A search of the AAPA Abstract of Records in relation to ML(A) 33874 as of 9 August 2024 shows that:

• there are no registered sacred sites located on ML(A) 33874;

• there are no recorded sacred sites located on ML(A) 33874; and



## **Project: Lei Lithium Project**

ne	Environmental factor and objective	Indicative environmental values and sensitivities relevant to each environmental factor	
-	<ul> <li>there is a restricted work area within ML(A) 33874 which was proven the should be noted that the restricted work area shown to intersect ML While existing information indicates there are no significant sites or fer cultural values and uses of the land that could be impacted by the Pro- The project area is not subject to claim or determination under the Na engage directly with registered native title parties to develop protocols custodians can make informed decisions about sacred sites and othe proposed development through the AAPA and in consultation with the</li> </ul>	ided for in a previously issued Authority Certificate to an unrelated entity. (A) 33874 is not associated with ML(A) 33874 and is not relevant to the planned operations on this land (pers comms Lithiun atures present, further consultation with Traditional Owners, site custodians and the Aboriginal community, and surveys are oject. Itive Title Act or Aboriginal Land Rights Act. If a native title claim is lodged and registered in response to the native title notific as a necessary for sacred sites. It is anticipated that the Northern Land Council (NLC) and AAPA will be involved in this proce r native title interests. In the event that there are no native title parties following native title notification of ML(A) 33874, an ap e NLC.	n Plus require cation ess to plication
-	<ul> <li>Comments on whether or not a significant impact is likely:</li> <li>The Proposal is considered to trigger referral due to uncertainty of existing archive Direct loss or damage to archaeological sites</li> <li>Loss or damage to sacred sites</li> </ul>	aeological, heritage and/or sacred sites within the proposal area. Disturbance undertaken within an area where previous survey effort is unkno	wn car
	3) Human health	drinking water	Yes
	<u>Objective</u> : Protect the health of the Northern Territory population.	air quality     bush tucker	No
		radiological limits	Unce
		biting insects	Not
	<ul> <li>Mine site located 3.3 km (direct line) from nearest residence or oth</li> <li>The nearest community is Berry Springs, approximately 25 km (direct line) from nearest residence or oth</li> <li>The Project will generate noise and dust emissions from mining ac</li> <li>No consumptive uses of surface water or groundwater downstrear</li> <li>The closest registered bore (RN041993) for rural stock and domes</li> <li>Haul route along public road passes Berry Springs Primary Schoo</li> <li>The mined material and product is benign and does not contain ar</li> <li>There is no processing of ore on-site, thus the operation does not</li> <li>Groundwater contamination is not expected to occur due to low ris are no consumptive users nearby.</li> </ul>	her land use. No sensitive land uses present immediately surrounding the Project. rect line) east of the Project. Impacts of dust and noise unlikely to be measurable at the nearest community. ctivity. Dust and noise emissions will be managed on-site with suitable and proven controls. n. Some limited recreational use/fishing in Charlotte River. stic purposes drilled is located 2.6 km south of the Lei deposit. This bore is up-gradient of the Project area. All other registere I. Traffic management plan will be developed to mitigate and manage any concerns. hy components that could pose a health risk to workers or the community. use of hazardous materials or chemicals that could contaminate waters downstream in Charlotte River. sk of ARD and small volumes of hydrocarbons in use. If some level of contamination did occur there would be no risk to com	d wate munity
	Comments on whether or not a significant impact is likely: Project activities are unlikely to impact human health.		

## This pre-referral screening checklist was prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced person in environmental impact assessment.

Name / role: Suzanne Barber – EcOz Lead Consultant – Impact Assessment and Approvals

**Qualifications:** Batchelor of Environmental Science

Signature:

S. Bark

**Date:** 10 October 2024



## Proponent's answer to screening questions 1-5

s 27 August 2024). red to identify previously unrecorded sites and/or other

n of ML(A) 33874 then, in the first instance, the intention is to ensure the relevant traditional owners and/or traditional tion for authority certificate will be progressed for the

an result in potential significant impact: to culture and heritage through:

	X	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$
ertain				
Applicable				

ter supply bores are located over 7 km from the Lei deposit.

ty health as the contamination would be localised and there

Project: Lei Lithium Project



## REFERENCES

- Bureau of Meteorology (BoM) (2024). Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems Atlas. Commonwealth of Australia 2024, Bureau of Meteorology. Available at: <u>http://www.bom.gov.au/water/groundwater/gde/</u>. [Accessed July 2024].
- CDM Smith Australia (2024). Lei Lithium Deposit Groundwater Bore Drilling Report (CDM Smith, 2024) prepared for Lithium Plus, July 2024 [unpublished].
- Core Lithium Limited (2020). Environment Protection Act (EP Act) Referral Supporting Information Document, Finniss Lithium Project BP33 Underground Mine. Prepared by EcOz Pty Ltd for Core Lithium Limited.
- Department of Environment Parks and Water Security (DEPWS) (2024a) <u>Natural Resource Maps</u> [Accessed July 2024].
- EcOz Environmental Consultants (EcOz) (2024a) *Ecological Assessment of EL31091*. Report prepared for Lithium Plus Pty Ltd, August 2024 [unpublished].
- EcOz Environmental Consultants (EcOz) (2024b) Supplementary *Ecological Assessment*. Report prepared for Lithium Plus Pty Ltd, August 2024 [unpublished].
- Environmental Geochemistry International (EGI) (2024). *Geochemical Characterisation of Proposed Waste and Ore Materials, Lei Lithium Project.* Prepared for Lithium Plus, 13 August 2024 [unpublished].
- Groundwater Enterprises (2023). Lei Lithium Prospect, *Preliminary Groundwater Assessment.* Report prepared for Lithium Plus Minerals Pty Ltd, September 2023 [unpublished].
- International Erosion Control Association (IECA) (2008) *Best Practice Sediment and Erosion Control* [online] Available at: <u>https://www.austieca.com.au/publications/best-practice-erosion-and-sediment-control-bpesc-document</u> [Accessed July 2024].
- WRM Water + Environment (WRM) (2024), Lei Lithium Project Preliminary Surface Water Assessment. Prepared for EcOz Environmental Consultants on behalf of Lithium Plus Minerals by WRM Water + Environment, October 2024 [unpublished].