Form for the referral of a proposed action or strategic proposal to the NT EPA

Under sections 48 to 50 of the Environment Protection Act 2019.

For instructions on how to complete this form, see the Environmental Impact Assessment Guidance for Proponents - Referring a Proposed Action to the NT EPA at LINK.

a) Referrer details				
Proponent or authorised representative 🗵		Statutory decision-maker		
Name (print): Mike Chapman		Signature		
M. Chapm-				
Position	Project Study and	Organisation	Groote Eylandt Mining C	Company Pty Ltd
	Approvals Manager			
Email Mike.Chapman@south32.net				
Address	Street No.	Street Name GEMCO, Rowell Highway		
Suburb Alyangula			State/Territory NT	Postcode 0885
Does the referrer req	uest that the NT EPA trea	t any part of		
the information in the referral as confidential?			☐ Yes ✔ No	
Provide an application	n under regulation 271 of	the EP		
Regulations and the o	confidential information in	n a separate		
attachment.				
Referral declaration for proponent and statutory decision-maker:				
I, Mike Chapman, dec	clare that I am authorised	to refer this prop	osed action on behalf of G	Groote Eylandt Mining
I, Mike Chapman, declare that I am authorised to refer this proposed action on behalf of Groote Eylandt Mining Company Pty Ltd, and further declare that:				
the referral has been prepared in accordance with the EP Act and EP Regulations; and				
 the referral does not provide false or misleading information; and 				
• the proponent is a fit and proper person to hold an environmental approval in accordance with section 62 of the EP Act; and				
• the proponent fully understands that referring a proposed action under the EP Act does not limit, in any way,				
the requirements of the proponent to ensure approvals under any other regulatory regime are applied for,				
and adhered to.				

• the proponent has fulfilled its general duty in accordance with section 43 of the EP Act

Provide details of how the general duties have been met by referencing a part of, or appendix to, the referral that provides the explicit details of how section 43(a) to (g) of the EP Act requirements have been met.

In the preparation of this referral, the proponent (Groote Eylandt Mining Company Pty Ltd) has fulfilled the "general duty of proponents" as described in s. 43 of the EP Act, as follows:

- a) The proponent has undertaken extensive consultation with local communities in relation to the proposed action, as detailed in Section 2.4 Stakeholder Engagement of the Referral (attached);
- b) Consultation has been undertaken in a culturally appropriate manner, as detailed in Section 2.4 Stakeholder Engagement of the Referral (attached);
- c) Community knowledge and understanding of the natural and cultural values of the areas that may be impacted by the project has been sought and documented in the design of the project, as detailed in Section 2.3 Selection of Realigned Access Corridor and Haul Road Design and Section 2.4 Stakeholder Engagement of the Referral (attached);
- Aboriginal values, rights and interests in relation to areas that may be impacted by this proposal have been addressed, specifically in the selection of the project's alignment and design, as detailed in Section 2.3 Selection of Realigned Access Corridor and Haul Road Design and Section 2.4 Stakeholder Engagement of the Referral (attached);
- e) The principles of ecologically sustainable development have been considered, as detailed in Attachment 1-1 EP Act Environment Impact Assessment Objectives of the Referral (attached);
- f) The environmental decision-making hierarchy has been applied in the design of the proposed action, as detailed in Attachment 1-1 EP Act Environment Impact Assessment Objectives of the Referral (attached); and
- g) The waste management hierarchy has been considered in the design of the project, as detailed in Attachment 1-1 EP Act Environment Impact Assessment Objectives of the Referral (attached).

b) Referral type

What type of proposal is being referred?	✓ proposed action
	□ strategic proposal
	☐ proponent initiated EIS*
Provide a brief justification for why you consider the action	The proponent has undertaken a self-assessment to
may have a significant impact on the environment and	determine whether the project has the potential to
warrants referral to the NT EPA.	have a significant impact on the environment. This
	has included undertaking detailed preliminary
*If the referral is for a proponent initiated EIS, please include reasons for why an EIS is necessary.	planning and environmental investigations as well as
	extensive consultation (refer to the Referral). The
	proponent has concluded that significant adverse
	impacts on the environment are unlikely to arise
	from the project. However, legal certainty is being

	sought as to whether an approval is required under		
	the EP Act.		
If the referral is for a proponent initiated EIS, are there draft	☐ Yes ✓ No		
terms of reference and statement of reasons included?	If yes, ensure the draft terms of reference and		
	statement of reasons are attached to this referral.		
c) Proponent and proposal description			
3.1 Proponent details			
Title of the proposed action	J Quarry Haul Road Realignment Project		
Name of the proponent/s	Groote Eylandt Mining Company Pty Ltd (GEMCO)		
(including Trading Name if relevant)			
Australian Company Number(s)			
OR	ABN: 26 004 618 491		
Australian Business Number(s) ✓			
	Name – Ms Laura Knowles (Principle Environmental		
	Scientist)		
Contact for the proposed action (if different from the referrer	Address – Level 15, 215 Adelaide Street, Brisbane		
	City, QLD, 4001 (Postal Address – GPO Box 3285,		
	Brisbane, QLD, 4001)		
Please include: name, physical address, phone, and email.	Phone - +61 7 3226 0900		
	Email – Iknowles@hansenbailey.com.au		
3.2 Northern Territory approvals and regulation			
Is rezoning of any land required before the proposed	□ Yes ✓ No		
action can be implemented?			
If yes, please provide details.			
Please identify other approvals required for the proposed action:			
Refer to Referral (Section 1.4 – Regulatory Approvals)			

e.g. clearing, dewatering, mining, processing, dredging 3.3 Location	Land tenure/access e.g. Crown land, Mining lease, specify legislation for access if relevant	e.g. Vegetat Permit, licer authorisatio	ion Clearing	Legislation regulating the activity
Provide map/s showing the proposed action's location and footprint.		Refer to Figure 1-1, Figure 1-3 and Figure 6-1 of the Referral		
 Location: a) street address, suburb, tenement details, lot/section numbers, town/hundred, NT Portion or pastoral lease numbers, as applicable; or b) if remote, the nearest town or recognisable feature and distance and direction from that town/feature to the site of the proposed action. 		Refer to Ref Section 2.2	ferral (Section 1.2 – Project Overview and – Setting)	
Provide land tenure and zoning details.		Refer to Ref	ferral (Section 2.2 – Setting)	
What is the current land use of the proposed footprint? If known, detail the land use history within the proposed footprint.		Refer to Referral (Section 2.2 – Setting)		
3.4 Description of proposed action (refer to Environmental Impact Assessment Guidance - Referring a Proposed Action to the NT EPA) Describe the key characteristics of the proposed action Provide as an attachment to the form Refer to Referral (Section 2.5 – Project Description)				
Have you provided electronic spatial data, maps and figures in the appropriate format?		✓ Yes 🗆 No		

3.5 Alternatives			
Describe alternative location/s of the action or its components and justify the selection.		to Referral (Section 2.3 – Selection of Realigned s Corridor and Road Design and Section 2.5.10 - atives)	
List alternative timeframes considered and their effects on duration and intensity of impacts/benefits.		to Referral (Section 2.5.8 – Timing)	
List alternative activities considered (e.g. ore processing vs direct shipping ore; new port facilities vs use of existing port facilities)		to Referral (Section 2.3 – Selection of Realigned Section 2.5.10 - atives)	
4 Existing environment			
Describe the existing natural environment within and in proximity to the proposed footprint.		to Referral (Section 2.2 – Setting, Section 4.2 – e Water Setting, Section 5.2 – Overview of Project nd Section 6.1 – Overview of Project Site)	
Describe any important sites or features within or near the proposed action.		to Referral (Section 2.2 – Setting)	
Describe the social structure and economy of the region.		to Referral (Section 2.2 – Setting)	
5 Environmental factors			
What are the environmental factors that could be significantly impacted by this proposed action?] Landfo	rms	
significantly impacted by this proposed action:	☐ Terrestrial environmental quality		
✓		✓ Terrestrial ecosystems	
		✓ Hydrological processes	
		✓ Inland water environmental quality	
		Aquatic ecosystems	
		☐ Coastal processes	
		☐ Marine environmental quality	
] Marine	ecosystems	
		☐ Atmospheric processes	

		☐ Air qu	ality
		☐ Societ	y and economy
		✓ Cultur	e and heritage
		☐ Huma	n Health
For each of the enviro		complete	e the table at 6 below, or provide the information in a
6 Potential environr	nental impacts		
Please see Appendix A	A to the referral guidance to assist	t in filling	out this section.
1	Receiving environment – Describe current condition of the receiving environment in relation to this form	ıg	Refer to Referral (Section 4 – Surface Water, Section 5 – Aquatic Ecology, Section 6 – Terrestrial Ecology, and Section 7 – Culture and Heritage)
2	Potential impacts – Identify and the potential impacts of the action against the NT EPA objective for environmental factor.	on	Refer to Referral (Section 4 – Surface Water, Section 5 – Aquatic Ecology, Section 6 – Terrestrial Ecology, and Section 7 – Culture and Heritage)
3	Mitigation – Describe the measure proposed to avoid, mitigate or o the potential environmental imp	ffset	Refer to Referral (Section 4 – Surface Water, Section 5 – Aquatic Ecology, Section 6 – Terrestrial Ecology, and Section 7 – Culture and Heritage, and Section 8 – Environmental Management)
4	Assumptions - Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment.		Refer to Referral (Appendix A – Hydrology and Hydraulics Assessment Report, Appendix B – Geomorphic Impact Assessment Report, Appendix C – Aquatic Ecology Report, and Appendix D – Terrestrial Ecology Report)
5	Relevant policy and guidance / industry standards used in apply mitigation measures.		Refer to Referral (Section 4 – Surface Water, Section 5 – Aquatic Ecology, Section 6 – Terrestrial Ecology, Section 7 – Culture and Heritage, and Section 8 – Environmental Management)
6	Consultation – Outline the outco consultation in relation to the poentium environmental impacts and proposition.	otential	Refer to Section 2.4 – Stakeholder Engagement of the Referral

7	Cumulative impact – of this action with	Refer to Referral (Section 4 – Surface Water,
	other actions in the area.	Appendix C – Aquatic Ecology Report, and Appendix
		D – Terrestrial Ecology Report)
8	Ecologically sustainable development	Refer to Referral (Attachment 1-1 – EP Act
	– how have the following principles	Environmental Impact Assessment Objectives)
	been considered/applied?	
	a) Decision-making principle	
	b) Precautionary principle	
	c) Principle of evidence-based	
	decision-making	
	d) Principle of intergenerational	
	and intragenerational equity	
	e) Principle of sustainable use	
	f) Principle of conservation of	
	biological diversity and	
	ecological integrity	
	g) Principle of improved	
	valuation, pricing and incentive	
	mechanisms	
	conams.ns	
9	Residual impact – provide a statement	Refer to Referral (Section 4 – Surface Water, Section
	of the expected residual impact to the	5 – Aquatic Ecology, Section 6 – Terrestrial Ecology,
	environmental factor.	and Section 7 – Culture and Heritage)
7 Commonwealth G	overnment approvals	
	tion involve an action that may be or is a	☐ Yes ✓ No
	er the Environment Protection and	
Biodiversity Conserva	tion Act 1999 (EPBC Act)?	
Has the proposed act	ion been referred? If yes, when was it	☐ Yes ✓ No
referred and what is the reference number (EPBC No.)?		
		Date:
		EPBC No.:
		EPBC NO
If the proposed action has not been referred, does the		□ Yes ✓ No
referrer intend to refer it?		
If referred, has a decision been made on whether the		☐ Yes ☐ No
proposed action is a controlled action? If 'yes', check the		
appropriate box and provide the decision in an attachment.		
appropriate box and i	provide the decision in an attachment.	

Form for referring a proposed action to the NT EPA

	☐ Decision – controlled action
	☐ Decision – not a controlled action
Is approval required from other Commonwealth Government/s for any part of the proposed action?	☐ Yes ✓ No
If yes, describe.	Approval: