



Darwin Tivan® Processing Facility

Stakeholder & Community Engagement Plan

Client: TNG Resources

Date: 25 January 2021

Contact:

Martin Klopper
martin.klopper@elton.com.au
0406 955 766

**DARWIN
08 7902 9038**

82 Smith Street
Darwin NT 0800

www.elton.com.au
consulting@elton.com.au
Sydney | Brisbane | Canberra | Darwin | Melbourne | Perth
ABN 56 003 853 101

Elton Consulting is now part of the WSP Group.

Prepared by	Jock Lucas
Reviewed by	Martin Klopper
Date	25 January 2021
Current Version	210130
Original Version	19 June 2019 / C&E Strategy to support EIS

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	2
1.1	Project overview	2
1.2	Location	4
2	CONSULTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS STRATEGY	5
2.1	Purpose	5
2.1.1	Limitations	5
2.2	Objectives	5
2.3	Consultation principles	6
2.4	Consultation process	6
3	REQUIREMENTS TO CONSULT	7
3.1	NTEPA requirements	7
3.2	IAP2 Core Values	7
3.3	Level of engagement	8
4	STAKEHOLDERS	9
5	COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION PROGRAM AND ACTION PLAN	10
5.1	Consultation program	10
5.2	Engagement Strategy	12
 FIGURES		
Figure 1	Site location	4
Figure 2	IAP2 Core Values	7
 TABLES		
Table 1	IAP2 levels of engagement	8
Table 2	Project Consultation Phases	10
Table 3	High level engagement strategy	12
Table 4	Stakeholder Analysis	18
 APPENDICES		
A	Stakeholder Analysis	18
B	Completed Engagement Stages	29

1 Introduction

1.1 Project overview

TNG Limited (TNG) has proposed the construction and operations of the Darwin Processing Facility at Lot 1817 Middle Arm, Darwin Harbour adjacent to the Elizabeth River Bridge. The Darwin Processing Facility would process magnetite concentrate railed 1400km from the Mount Peake project which is located 280 km northwest of Alice Springs. The Darwin Processing Facility (the Project), with associated access roads, supporting infrastructure and services comprises a development footprint of approximately 270.5 ha, of which a significant portion has already been cleared by extractive industries.

The Project would require extensive service access and new infrastructure requirements. A Notice of Intent (NOI) for the Project was issued on 27 October 2015 to the Northern Territory Environmental Protection Authority (NT EPA) for consideration under the *Environmental Assessment Act* (EA ACT). The NT EPA determined on 20 May 2016 that there were a number of risks of the Project having a potential impact to the environment and further studies and more comprehensive assessments would be required through an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) before it could proceed.

Key justification for the decision included:

- » The Project is immediately adjacent to the Elizabeth River, Darwin Harbour and procedures would be required to address possible uncontrolled emissions or discharges, dust and spills that have potential to occur.
- » The Project is located within 3 km of the suburb of Bellamack and within 4 km of the proposed Weddell urban area. There is potential competing interest in respect of the siting of heavy industry and proximity to residential areas with potential impacts including noise, lighting and emissions from the operations.
- » Atmospheric emissions from the Project operations are expected to comprise of carbon dioxide, nitrogen, water and oxygen; it was unknown where particular noxious or toxic emissions are anticipated. The NOI identified that further information is required to define and quantify the atmospheric emissions generated from operations.
- » There is potential risk that the unknown waste streams that will be generated through the operations could have a significant impact on the environment.
- » Risks associated with the transportation of hazardous good on public roads and increased traffic combined with existing and future traffic.
- » The project will require significant quantities of gas, and other services, to operate. The NOI did not have sufficient information to address service requirements, including availability of gas and capacity of current infrastructure/services to meet the demands of the Project.

Under the Northern Territory (NT) *Environmental Assessment Act 1982* and supporting Environmental Assessment Administrative Procedures a proponent of a proposed activity was required to obtain formal approval prior to commencing with the activity. Formal approvals under the aforementioned act consisted of eight key phases in the NT, namely:

- » Notice of Intent (NOI)
- » Determination of Level of Assessment.
- » Public Review of Guidelines.
- » Preparation of the Draft EIS.

-
- » Submission of the Draft EIS and Public Review.
 - » Preparation of EIS Supplement.
 - » Government Review and Decision.
 - » Final Approval.

A draft EIS was prepared based on the outputs of initial assessments and consultation with the public and key stakeholders.

Since submission of the Draft EIS the *Environmental Assessments Act* 1982 has been replaced by the *Environment Protection Act 2019*. As such, the Project is now being assessed under the transitional arrangements of the new Act. A key difference with the *Environment Protection Act 2019* is the requirement for EIS Supplements to undertake a 15-business day public review period, which was not incorporated into the previous Act.

The Draft EIS was prepared to provide a detailed understanding of infrastructure design and engineering. All construction, site preparation, operation and management elements were described. These descriptions addressed (NRETAS, 2009):

- » Objectives, benefits and justification of the project
- » Project location
- » Project timeframes
- » Local planning context
- » Relationship to other actions
- » Project components and supporting infrastructure
- » Materials utilised
- » Maintenance
- » Employment predictions
- » Decommissioning
- » Assessment of residual environmental impact from the Project.

Stakeholder and community consultation was undertaken as part of the EIS process to gain input into the Draft EIS during the development of the draft, through the exhibition process, and subsequently to support the preparation of the EIS Supplement.

Consultation and stakeholder engagement will be undertaken for all future stages of the project, including mobilisation, construction, operation and decommissioning.



This engagement strategy establishes the framework for engagement from the EIS supplement stage through to decommissioning of the facility.



Version Control:

This engagement strategy is an iterative document. The current version, dated 25 January 2020, includes updates to support the lodgement of the EIS Supplement. Previous versions guided engagement on the Draft EIS, and supported additional engagement delivered to inform the preparation of the EIS supplement.

1.2 Location

The Darwin Processing Facility will be located on Lot 1817, Hundred of Ayers, Middle Arm Peninsula of Darwin Harbour. Access to the site is via Channel Island Road and is approximately 30 km by road from Darwin, 9 km from Palmerston and 22 km by road or rail from East Arm Wharf (Figure 2-1).

The Project site is located adjacent to Elizabeth River, near the Elizabeth River Bridge. High voltage power lines, the Amadeus Gas Pipeline, a water supply pipeline and Alice Springs – Darwin Railway are in close proximity to the site's southern boundary (Figure 2-2).

Lot 1817 is vacant Crown Land. The Register of Native Title Claims, Register of Indigenous Land Use agreements, Native Title Register and unregistered applications indicate that Section 1817, Hundred of Ayers was assessed under Native Title application DC96/4 Darwin Larrakia. It was determined that 'Native Title does not exist'.

Lot 1817 is approximately 507 ha of which 40 ha is mangrove forest and intertidal zone, and the balance of 467 ha is terrestrial land. Approximately 270.5 ha will be developed, comprising 53% of the total Lot area.

The site location is shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 Site location



2 Consultation and Communications Strategy

This community and stakeholder consultation and communications strategy will allow for flexibility and will be adapted to meet the needs and challenges of each stage of the project.

2.1 Purpose

Engagement with the community and stakeholders is essential to build trust and confidence with TNG and its management practises, to inform the EIS supplement, and to set up a framework for ongoing engagement with stakeholders and the community.

This version has been prepared to inform the EIS supplement, and includes all consultation activities to support preparation of the Draft EIS, the exhibition stage, and the post exhibition EIS supplement. It also takes a forward-looking approach, establishing a structure for engagement following EIS determination.

Accordingly, the tools employed throughout the project will vary dependant on what level of communication or issues management is required.

This community and stakeholder engagement plan has been prepared with reference to the Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority *Guidance for Proponents – Stakeholder Engagement 2019* and forms part of the EIS Supplement.

2.1.1 Limitations

The Project is being undertaken by an ASX listed organisation, with obligations under the relevant legislation in terms of the distribution of information. This engagement strategy reflects these requirements, with engagement and notification of project updates timed to align with preceding ASX announcement, and shareholder notification processes.

2.2 Objectives

The objectives for this consultation strategy are to:

- » Support the preparation of the EIA.
- » Establish a framework for future engagement, to be refined following approval of the EIS.
- » Establish a proactive and positive relationship with key stakeholders, special interest groups, industry and the community to support the long-term communications aims of the project and TNG more broadly.
- » Effectively engage the community to promote greater awareness, understanding and support of the project and to achieve the objectives of the EIS TOR, and to support future EIS approval and conditions.
- » To provide a flexible and strategic approach to engagement and communications that is iterative and subject to ongoing review and evaluation to ensure it responds to both project objectives and stakeholder feedback.
- » Inform future opportunities to raise awareness and support for the project, ensuring key stakeholders are provided with notification about EIS approval, environmental conditions, and provided with opportunities for meaningful participation through project implementation.

2.3 Consultation principles

The consultation process is based on the following principles:

- » The purpose and expectations of the consultation exercise and anticipated outcomes should be clear and understood by all participants
- » Participants should be aware of what they can and cannot influence
- » Consultation mechanisms should maximise people's ability to participate
- » Community and stakeholder consultation activities are as inclusive as possible, in order to ensure they are not subject to manipulation or domination by particular interests
- » Information should be provided at key project milestones to adequately inform participants' inputs
- » Adequate time, staff support, and funds should be made available to support the participation process
- » The early identification of any concerns and issues with the project and to work closely with stakeholders to develop measures to address them
- » Participants must be aware of how their inputs will be used and then how they receive feedback on the outcomes
- » Thorough and transparent review of the consultation process should occur at critical points throughout the engagement and at its conclusion.

2.4 Consultation process

The consultation process will involve several activities to enable numerous and diverse opportunities for participation by interested parties. Key consultation activities may include:

- » A series of one-on-one meetings and briefings with key stakeholders
- » Proactive and targeted media briefings focused on project key messages
- » Pop up community information stands in high traffic areas within communities with a higher level of interest and or in close proximity to the site
- » Presentations with key stakeholders and the direct response to queries
- » Stakeholder workshops and round table presentations
- » Direct engagement with Aboriginal stakeholders, to establishing understanding for the project and inform how and when they wish to be consulted, and be part of the project
- » Communication channels including local media advertisements, local members and council social media / digital channels.

A proactive approach to communications will enable the project team to position this project as a benefit to the local community and the Northern Territory Government.

The regular release of project material through the required ASX updates, regular community communications, the project website and media will work to address misinformation and minimise public speculation.

Communication tools will be updated on a regular basis to reflect project developments. This process is supported by the public notification of the EIS at various stages through the NT EPA's website and notifications process, as well as TNG's website.

3 Requirements to consult

3.1 NTEPA requirements

The objects of the *Environment Protection Act (NT) 2019* include the need to:

- » Provide for broad community involvement during the process of environmental impact assessment and approval.
- » To recognise the role that Aboriginal people have as stewards of their country.


The NT EPA Stakeholder Engagement and Consultation Guide for Proponents (2019) states that the goal of stakeholder engagement during the environmental impact assessment process is to ensure timely provision of relevant and easily understood information to stakeholders.

It recommends engagement by independent and suitably qualified professionals at a time when stakeholders can still influence key decisions, to address preliminary concerns, influence stakeholder perceptions and to develop positive relationships. Engaging with stakeholders early in the environmental impact assessment process is the best way of communicating the proposal and its risks and potential impacts and mitigation and management measures.

3.2 IAP2 Core Values

Consultation will be guided by the principles of good community engagement, based on people's level of interest and concern as outlined by the International Association for Public Participation (IAP2), which is recommended by the NTEPA guideline as best practice. The IAP2 Core Values for the practice of public participation and community engagement are as follows:

Figure 2 IAP2 Core Values



Source: International Association of Public Participation www.iap2.org

3.3 Level of engagement

Using the IAP2 principles that guide good engagement, any project consultation associated with the EIS and subsequent project delivery and operation will be conducted at the appropriate levels of inform and consult.

Certain stakeholders may have the ability to be involved and collaborate on aspects of the proposal, and this will be identified on a case-by-case basis.

Table 1 outlines the IAP2 spectrum's level of engagement, and the broad approach at each level. As the project progresses, stakeholders will be identified and the appropriate level of engagement, and appropriate depth of engagement for each will be determined.

Table 1 IAP2 levels of engagement

Level of engagement	Approach to the community and stakeholders
Inform	TNG will aim to keep stakeholders informed
Consult	TNG will listen to concerns, keep stakeholders informed, and provide feedback on how stakeholder's input influenced the decision, wherever possible
Involve	TNG will work with stakeholders to ensure concerns are reflected in the alternatives developed wherever feasible, and provide feedback on how the public's input influenced the decision
Collaborate	TNG will look to stakeholders for advice, ideas and solutions and incorporate those into the decisions where appropriate
Empower	TNG will implement relevant stakeholder decisions where appropriate and feasible
Source: Adapted from: ©International Association of Public Participation www.iap2.org	

Consultation is an important element in gaining qualitative insights and inputs to the project's economic and social impact assessment and providing long term guidance to the company's community relations and social performance.

Ongoing consultation through the life of the project, to decommissioning, will assist in establishing and perpetuating the project's social licence to operate.

4 Stakeholders

A detailed list of key stakeholders likely to have an interest in the Darwin Processing Facility Project can be found in Appendix A.

Stakeholders are divided into the following categories: Territory and local government, community and organisation, and media stakeholders. The stakeholder matrix also identifies the level of risk associated with each stakeholder as well as the recommended level of communication required at specific stages of the project.

The list is preliminary only, and subject to change pending stakeholders and interests identified during the consultation process, and as the project moves through its various stages of planning, approvals through to construction and operation.

The list is updated regularly, and currently reflects the 2020 NT election results.

5 Community and stakeholder consultation program and action plan

5.1 Consultation program

The following program outlines the recommended community consultation activities to complement project milestones in the process. Activities are targeted to key stakeholders and are designed to keep agencies and members of the community informed of the latest project developments, providing opportunities for input where possible.

In order to maintain open lines of communication between the project team and stakeholders, project collateral will be released at key milestones, including:

- » Regular website updates will be undertaken to reflect the content in these public materials.
- » The release of materials will coincide with major planning stages, allowing adequate notification of consultation events, and providing important project updates – following appropriate notification as required by ASX policies, procedures and legislation.

Providing clear and accurate information at timely intervals is critical to ensure stakeholders can make informed decisions regarding the project.

There are several phases to the successful delivery of the consultation program:

Table 2 Project Consultation Phases

Phase	Description	Status
Phase 1: Early Engagement	» Early engagement to develop relationships and build understanding of the project.	Complete
Phase 2: Building Awareness & Draft EIS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Sensitive launch of the project into the public arena and » Engagement to support the Draft EIS 	Complete
Phase 3: Engagement to support EIS Supplement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Amend consultation and engagement strategy to address necessary changes highlighted in the Draft EIS. » Implement consultation amendments to support EIS Supplement report. 	In progress
Phase 4: Notification of Approval and Environmental Conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Update consultation strategy as needed. » Implement stakeholder and community engagement to inform of approval and environmental conditions. 	Not yet commenced

Phase	Description	Status
	» Early notification of construction programme, and envisaged construction stage impacts.	
Phase 5: Construction Stage	» Update consultation strategy as needed. » Implement stakeholder and community engagement to support compliance with environmental conditions » Early notification of construction related impacts. » Implementing community social benefit / grants programme.	Not yet commenced
Phase 6: Operational Stage	» Update consultation strategy as needed. » Implement stakeholder and community engagement to support compliance with environmental conditions as they relate to ongoing operations and reporting requirements. » Continued operation of Mount Peake Project community social benefit /grants programme.	Not yet commenced
Phase 7: Decommissioning	» Update consultation strategy as needed. » Implement stakeholder and community engagement to support compliance with environmental conditions as they relate to decommissioning » Early notification of impacts associated with decommissioning, including demolition works.	Not yet commenced.

5.2 Engagement Strategy

Table 3 outlines each phase, providing indicative timing, stakeholders, justification of engagement and tools. Completed engagement stages are summarised in Appendix B.

Each stage of engagement will include:

- » The preparation of a detailed engagement implementation plan, as a non-public-facing document, to support effective implementation.
- » The preparation of supporting collateral including frequently asked questions and key messages.
- » A consultation register, to record engagement including dates of contact and key outcomes.

Table 3 High level engagement strategy

Who?	Why?	How*	Status
Phase 3: EIS Supplement Stage			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Stakeholders who made submissions during Phase 2 » Stakeholders and public who require additional consultation and engagement » Aboriginal Stakeholders 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » To meet the requirements of the EIS ToR and Consultation Guidelines. » To ensure a thorough engagement process which adapts as the project progresses. » To update stakeholders on amendments made to the proposal in relation to identified aspects, and inform preparation of the EIS supplement. » To obtain feedback from submitters on amendments to the proposal, to inform completion of the EIS supplement. » To introduce the project to Aboriginal stakeholders, and obtain guidance on preferred methods, and level of future engagement. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Written correspondence » Direct stakeholder briefings » Detailed response to specific queries » Direct discussions with submitters 	In Progress

Who?	Why?	How*	Status
Phase 4: Notification of Approval and Environmental Conditions			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Political Level » NTG Departments / service authorities » Councils » Local Members » Aboriginal Stakeholders » Stakeholders » Community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Build and strengthen support within both Territory and Local Government for the project and understand key messages » Understand Aboriginal Stakeholder knowledge of the land, and facilitate involvement. » Manage stakeholder expectations about approvals process, project timeframes, size and scale and what it means to them » Build community awareness about opportunities to benefit the Darwin region » Build community awareness of construction stage impacts and mitigation » Confirm other key stakeholders to engage with, and the most appropriate method. » Use feedback gathered to refine key messages and project materials 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Direct briefings and meetings with key stakeholders, including Aboriginal Stakeholders, and service authorities. » Project specific website updates » Community newsletters. » Formation of community reference group to support construction and operation. » Establishment of Mount Peake Project community benefit / grant fund. » Media and social media campaign. » Community information session / pop-ups 	Not yet commenced
Phase 5: Construction Stage			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Aboriginal Stakeholders » Media Outlets » Councils » Special Interest, Business, Community and Environmental Groups » General Community » Affected property owners » Affected road users » Rail operators and users 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Consolidate support within both Territory and Local Government for the project and understand key messages » Ensure key stakeholders are kept up to date on compliance with environmental and planning approval conditions, including provision of monitoring results where appropriate. » Consolidate community awareness about opportunities to benefit the Darwin region. » Actively promote local employment opportunities and procurement strategy / Territory benefit scheme. » Keep the community informed of construction progress and impacts and mitigation. » Keep key stakeholder groups informed of disruption due to construction, for example temporary road closures / temporary 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Pre-construction activities, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> > Appropriate Aboriginal ceremony. > Media event including sod turning. » Direct briefings and meetings with key stakeholders, and service authorities. » Engagement with Aboriginal Stakeholders preceding construction. » Project specific website updates » Community newsletters, and other notifications as required. » Construction completion activities, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> > Media event, and official opening. 	Not yet commenced

Who?	Why?	How*	Status
	<p>impacts to rail operations associated with construction of the new railway siding.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Confirm other key stakeholders to engage with and the most appropriate method. » Use feedback gathered to refine key messages and project materials » Implement the complaints resolution framework. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> > Community open-day prior to commencement of operations. » Regular meetings of the Community reference group. » Mount Peake Project community benefit fund. » Media and social media campaign. » Community information session / pop-ups » Dedicated information/complaints number. 	
Phase 6: Operation			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Aboriginal Stakeholders » Media Outlets » Councils » Special Interest, Business, Community and Environmental Groups » General Community » Affected property owners » Affected road users » Rail operators and users 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Deliver operational-stage complaints management plan. » Deliver community benefit programme / grants programme. » Deliver communications associated with extraordinary events, for example scheduled maintenance events. » Publish reports associated with environmental conditions reporting, including provision of monitoring results where appropriate. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Direct briefings and meetings with key stakeholders, and service authorities. » Project specific website updates » Community newsletters, and other notifications as required. » Meetings of the Community reference group as required. » Mount Peake Project community benefit fund. » Media and social media campaign. » Dedicated information / complaints telephone number. 	Not yet commenced
Phase 7: Decommissioning			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Aboriginal Stakeholders » Media Outlets » Councils » Special Interest, Business, Community and Environmental Groups 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Advance notification of closure of facility. » To support decommissioning plan, including demolition and site rehabilitation processes. » To keep the community informed of potential impacts associated with decommissioning, including noise, road closures and the like. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> » Direct briefings and meetings with key stakeholders, and service authorities. » Project specific website updates » Community newsletters, and other notifications as required. 	Not yet commenced

Who?	Why?	How*	Status
<ul style="list-style-type: none">» General Community» Affected property owners» Affected road users» Rail operators and users		<ul style="list-style-type: none">» Regular meetings of the Community reference group.» Wrap-up of community benefit fund.» Media and social media campaign.» Dedicated information / complaints telephone number.	

*How includes potential tools that may be used. The most appropriate measure will be determined at the time of implementation.

Appendices

- A Stakeholder Analysis
- B Completed Engagement Stages

A Stakeholder Analysis

Table 4 Stakeholder Analysis

Stakeholder	Contact Details		Risk Analysis – Key Concerns	Level of Influence
Chief Minister Treasurer Minister for Major Projects and Territory Economic Reconstruction Minister for Strategic Defence Relations	Michael Gunner	8936 5500 chief.minister@nt.gov.au	Concerns relating to public opinion of the proposed use Concerns relating to environment, traffic impact, public amenity and recreation Concerns about the impact on the environment including hazardous materials, water quality, mangroves and marine environment	Extreme / Ability to directly impact outcome
Deputy Chief Minister Minister for Police, Fire and Emergency Services Minister for Mining and Industry Minister for Northern Australia Trade Minister for Defence Industries Minister for Agribusiness and Aquaculture Minister for International Education	Nicole Manison	8936 5547 minister.manison@nt.gov.au	Concerns relating to recreational fishing and boat ramp Support for increased investment in the resources sector Support for job creation Concern of a FIFO workforce Will be wanting to know solid information relating to local industry participation and capacity building	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Minister for Renewables and Energy Minister for Environment Minister for Essential Services Minister for Water Security Minister for Infrastructure, Planning and Logistics	Eva Lawler	8936 5566 minister.lawler@nt.gov.au		Extreme / Ability to directly impact outcome

Minister for Climate Change				
Minister for Recreational Fishing	Paul Kirby	8936 5680 minister.kirby@nt.gov.au		Extreme / Ability to directly impact outcome
Minister for Jobs and Training				
Minister for Public Employment				
Member for Port Darwin				
Member for Blain (nearest residential area electorate)	Mark Turner	Electorate: 8999 5572 electorate.blain@nt.gov.au Parliament House: 8946 1412 mark.turner@nt.gov.au	Concerns relating to the impact on nearby residential and rural communities Concerns relating to environment, traffic impact, public amenity and impact on existing lifestyle Concerns about the impact on the environment including hazardous materials, water quality, mangroves and marine environment	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Member for Goyder (nearest rural electorate)	Kezia Purick	Electorate: 8999 6556 electorate.goyder@nt.gov.au Parliament House: 8946 1433 kezia.purick@nt.gov.au	Concerns relating to recreational fishing and boat ramp Will be seeking community input Concern of a FIFO workforce	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Independent				
Member for Spillet (adjoining electorate)	Lia Finocchiaro	Electorate: 8999 6674 electorate.spillet@nt.gov.au Parliament House: 8936 5659 opposition.leader@nt.gov.au		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Leader of the Opposition (CLP)				
Member for Nelson	Gerard Maley	Electorate: 8999 6713		

		Electorate.nelson@nt.gov.au Parliament house: 8946 1413 Gerard.maley@nt.gov.au		
Member for Lingiari	Warren Snowden	Warren.Snowdon.MP@aph.gov.au Electorate: 8983 3129 Parliament House: 02 6277 4081		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Member for Solomon (adjoining electorate)	Luke Gosling	Luke.Gosling.MP@aph.gov.au Electorate: 8928 0180 Parliament House: 02 6277 4933	Concerns relating to the impact on nearby residential and rural communities Concerns about the impact on the environment including hazardous materials, water quality, mangroves and marine environment	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Mayor of Darwin	Koonstantine Vatskalis	0400 509 060 Lord.mayor@darwin.nt.gov.au	Concerns relating to recreational fishing and boat ramp Support for job creation/investment	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Deputy Mayor of Darwin	Peter Pangquee	0419 858 636 p.pangquee@darwin.nt.gov.au	Concerns relating to the impact on nearby residential and rural communities Concerns about the impact on the environment including hazardous materials, water quality, mangroves and marine environment	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Local Councillor (South Ward Litchfield Council)	Doug Barden	0429 875 390 doug.barden@litchfield.nt.gov.au	Concerns about the impact on the environment including hazardous materials, water quality, mangroves and marine environment	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome

Other Litchfield Councillors	Mathew Salter Christine Simpson	Mathew: 0408 093 838 mathew.salter@litchfield.nt.gov.au Kirsty Sayers-Hunt 0418 956 791 Kirsty.hunt@litchfield.nt.gov.au	Support for appropriate development, investment and job creation in the municipality Concern relating to traffic impacts	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Mayor of Palmerston	Athina Pascoe-Bell	8935 9969 0421 558 477 Mayor@palmerston.nt.gov.au		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Deputy Mayor of Palmerston	Tom Lewis	Alderman.lewis@palmerston.nt.gov.au	Concerns relating to the impact on nearby residential and rural communities Concerns about the impact on the environment including hazardous materials, water quality, mangroves and marine environment	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Alderman	Lucy Morrison Amber Garden Ben Giesecke Damian Hale Mick Spick Sarah Henderson	8935 9922 Alderman.morrison@palmerston.nt.gov.au Alderman.Giesecke@palmerston.nt.gov.au Alderman.Hale@palmerston.nt.gov.au Alderman.Spick@palmerston.nt.gov.au Alderman.henderson@palmerston.nt.gov.au	Concern relating to traffic impacts	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Chief Executive of Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Logistics (DIPL)	Andrew Kirkman	andrew.kirkman@nt.gov.au Irene Hyde Senior Executive Assistant 8924 7030 irene.hyde@nt.gov.au		High / Ability to substantially impact outcome
Deputy Chief Executive (DIPL)	Leah Clifford	Leah.clifford@nt.gov.au 8924 7262 0437 714 349	Concerns relating to the impact on nearby residential and rural communities Concerns about the impact on the environment including hazardous materials, water quality, mangroves and marine environment	High / Ability to substantially impact outcome
General Manager (DIPL) Transport and Civil Services (Roads)	Louise McCormick	Louise.mccormick@nt.gov.au 8924 7123 0428 758 220	Concerns relating to marine safety	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Senior Director Planning NT Government	Doug Lesh	Doug.lesh@nt.gov.au 8999 6109	Concern relating to traffic impacts	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome

Director Crown Land Estate		043 957 6089	Concerns relating to planning and need for due processes to be followed Concern relating to land transaction including appropriate disposal of crown land and due process	impact outcome
	Sharon Jones	Sharon.jones@nt.gov.au 8999 7019 0409 715 081		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Senior Manager Land Transactions	Karen White	Karen.white@nt.gov.au 8924 7201 0457 535 192		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Director Development Assessment Services	Anthony (Tony) Brennan	Anthony.brennan@nt.gov.au 8999 6118 0428 092 488		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Director Lands Planning	Michael Holmes	Michael.holmes@nt.gov.au 8999 6210 0429 363 870		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Director Corridor Management (Roads)	Mike Tait	Mike.tait@nt.gov.au 8924 7524		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Harbourmaster	Tony O'Malley	tony.omalley@nt.gov.au 8999 3867		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Chief Executive Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR)	Jo Townsend	Joanne.townsend@nt.gov.au 8999 3662	Risks to biodiversity values of adjacent areas, including nationally significant mangrove communities, marine ecosystems, local fisheries and listed threatened species Environmental risks associated with vegetation clearing, erosion and sediment control, uncontrolled discharges, dust, spills, disturbance of acid sulfate soils, contamination of soils, surface water and/or ground water	High / Ability to substantially impact outcome
Executive Director Environment (DENR)	Paul Purdon	<u>Paul.purdon@nt.gov.au</u> General number: 8924 4218		High / Ability to substantially impact outcome
Planning Team Leader (Environment)	Michael Browne	Michael.browne@nt.gov.au 8924 4149		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Director Environmental Assessments	Lisa Bradley	Lisa.bradley@nt.gov.au 8924 4144		High / Ability to substantially

EIS Officer			potential for project noise, lighting and emissions to impact on existing residents, potential for future residential development of the area (including Weddell), and other sensitive receptors	y impact outcome
		General number: 8924 4218		High / Ability to substantially y impact outcome
Director Water Assessments	Des Yin Foo	Des.yinfoo@nt.gov.au 8999 3615	Public health and safety risks associated with siting a potential major hazard facility adjacent to Darwin Harbour Potential for introduction and/or spread of weeds	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Executive Director Rangelands	Luis Da Rocha	luis.darocha@nt.gov.au 8999 6391	Risks of exposure of workers to high biting insect numbers Environmental risks associated with waste streams and waste management practices	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Director Land Development Coordination (Natural Resources)	Maria Wauchope	maria.wauchope@nt.gov.au 8999 3692 0477 725 970	Risks associated with transport, handling and/or storage of reagents, products and/or hazardous materials Potential for impacts on service infrastructure and service supply capacities, due to project demands in particular gas and water	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Chief Executive Department of Industry, Tourism and Trade	Shaun Drabsch	8999 5204 ceo.dtb@nt.gov.au		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Northern Australia Development and Trade General Manager	Luke Bowen	Luke.bowen@nt.gov.au General number: 8999 6099	Support for appropriate development, investment and job creation Concern of a FIFO workforce Will be wanting to know solid information relating to local industry participation and capacity building	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Strategic Infrastructure and Projects General Manager	Anne Tan	Anne.tan@nt.gov.au General number: 8999 6167	Will offer support in other NTG Departments liaison, but will require due process to be adhered to	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Major Projects Project Officer	Jason Robertson	Jason.robertson@nt.gov.au General number: 8999 6167		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Director Heritage	Michael Wells	Michael.wells@nt.gov.au General number: 8999 5039 PHONE CALL		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Chief Executive	Alister Trier	Alister.trier@nt.gov.au General number: 1300 308 144		High / Ability to substantially

				y impact outcome
Deputy Chief Executive	Rod Applegate	Rod.applegate@nt.gov.au General number: 1300 308 144	Concern that there may be sites, places or objects of historic or cultural heritage significance that may be disturbed	High / Ability to substantially y impact outcome
Executive Director Mines	Armando Padovan	Armando.padavan@nt.gov.au General number: 1300 308 144	Potentially concerned around communications that existing extractive licences on the site would be able to be renewed Concern around sustaining good management of Mt Peake	High / Ability to substantially y impact outcome
Workforce NT	Contact required	General number: (08) 8935 7720		High / Ability to substantially y impact outcome
Department of Defence	Contact required			
Tourism NT	Simonne Shepherd, Chief Executive Officer	ceo.dtsc@nt.gov.au Phone: Executive Assistant Megan Edmonds 61 8 8999 6576	DCM and NTEPA consider them to be a key stakeholder to consult with in relation to possible timing overlap with INPEX workforce	
Manager Distribution Development	Thanh Tang			
Manager Network Engineering	Tony Greenwood		DCM and NTEPA consider it important to consult with Tourism NT in relation to the supply of accommodation after influx of construction workforce – would it mean less tours? Restricted use of the Elizabeth River?	High / Ability to substantially y impact outcome
General Manager	Andrew Williams	Andrew.williams@landdevcorp.com.au 8944 0900	Impacts on service infrastructure and service supply capacities	High / Ability to substantially y impact outcome
NT Environment Protection Authority Chair	Dr Paul Vogel	High level approach		Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Development Consent Authority Chair	Suzanne Philip	Via Secretary: 8999 6044 development.consentauthority@nt.gov.au	Concerns for impact on LDC land or projects Questions of why project seek to be located in an LDC development	Extreme / Ability to directly impact outcome



Litchfield Division Development Consent Authority	Keith Aitken Adam Twomey Christine Simpson Wendy Smith Doug Barden	Via Secretary: 8999 6044 development.consentauthority@nt.gov.au Via Secretary: 8924 7540 ntpc@nt.gov.au	Communications should be via formal channels given the statutory role	Extreme / Ability to directly impact outcome Extreme / Ability to directly impact outcome
	Dr David Ritchie	Via Secretary: 8999 6044 development.consentauthority@nt.gov.au Via Secretary: 8924 7540 ntpc@nt.gov.au	Will have a large number of concerns Communications with the DCA should be via formal channels given the statutory role The opportunity to formally brief the DCA can be arranged at the required time Recommended the DCA is briefed with strategy developed at such time	Extreme / Ability to directly impact outcome Extreme / Ability to directly impact outcome High / Ability to substantially impact outcome
Chief Executive	David Fletcher	08 8983 0600 council@litchfield.nt.gov.au	Communications with the NTPC should be via formal channels given the statutory role Briefings with the NTPC Chair are not usually undertaken	
Director Infrastructure and Operations	Leon Kruger			High / Ability to substantially impact outcome
Chief Executive (adjacent Council and property owner)	Luccio Cercarelli	Caroline Hocking Executive Assistant 8935 3309 Caroline.hocking@palmerston.nt.gov.au	Concerns relating to the impact on nearby residential and rural communities Concerns about the impact on the environment including hazardous materials, water quality, mangroves and marine environment	High / Ability to substantially impact outcome High / Ability to substantially impact outcome
Landbridge Darwin Port	Terry O'Connor, Chief Executive	1300 327 946 Terry.oconnor@darwinport.com.au CRITICAL update stakeholder details	Support for appropriate development, investment and job creation in the municipality Concern relating to traffic impacts	

Armadeus Gas Pipeline	Kevin Lester, Group Executive Infrastructure Development	General number: 8924 8100	Concerns relating to the impact on nearby residential and rural communities Concerns about the impact on the environment including hazardous materials, water quality, mangroves and marine environment Concern relating to traffic impacts	High / Ability to substantially impact outcome
AustralAsia Railway Corporation	Brendan Lawson, Chief Executive	brendan.lawson@nt.gov.au 89469590 0419 969 590	Impact on port services and infrastructure Access to port services and infrastructure	High / Ability to substantially impact outcome
OneRail (ex - Genesee Wyoming Australia)	Paul Hollitt, Access & Property Manager	8343 5441 0419 833 165 PropertyAccess@gwrr.com	Access to gas supply and infrastructure Impact on gas supply and infrastructure	High / Ability to substantially impact outcome
NT Environment Centre	Shar Molloy, Director	shar.molloy@ecnt.org 8901 7783	Access to the railway network and infrastructure Impact on railway network and infrastructure	High / Ability to substantially impact outcome
Environmental Defenders Office	Gillian Duggin, Principal Lawyer	8981 5883 edont@edont.org.au	Access to the railway network and infrastructure Impact on railway network and infrastructure	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Chamber of Commerce, NT	Greg Bicknell, Chief Executive Officer	8982 8100 darwin@chambernt.com.au gbicknell@chambernt.com.au	Concerns relating to the environment and appropriateness of the proposed use	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Amateur Fishermen's Association of the Northern Territory	David Ciaravolo, CEO	8945 6455 research@afant.com.au	Concerns relating to the environment and appropriateness of the proposed use Desire for proper process	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Larrakia Nation	Nigel Brown, Chief Executive	reception@larrakia.com 8948 3733	Impact on services Impact on existing businesses	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Palmerston Regional Business Association		Contact via website: https://prba.com.au/	Concerns relating to marine environment and water quality	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome

Planning Institute, NT	Richard Moore, Executive Officer	5465 7331 nt@planning.org.au	Concerns relating to the environment and appropriateness of the proposed use Concerns about impacts on any cultural heritage sites	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Planning Action Network	Margaret Clinch, Convener	Margaret.clinch@bigpond.com 8927 1999	Impact on services Impact on existing businesses/residents	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Rural Residents' Rights Group	Diana Rickard and Greg Chapman	ernt@spiderweb.com.au	Concerns relating to the environment and appropriateness of the proposed use	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Bellamack Community and Residents	Various	TBA	Variety of concerns relating to amenity, the environment and appropriateness of the proposed use	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Virginia Community and Residents	Various	TBA	Variety of concerns relating to amenity, the environment and appropriateness of the proposed use	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Other adjoining or nearby residential/rural landowners/occupants	Various	TBA	Variety of concerns relating to amenity, the environment and appropriateness of the proposed use	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
General Community	Various	TBA	Variety of concerns relating to amenity, the environment and appropriateness of the proposed use	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Ichthys LNG Plant, Bladin Point	Inpex		Variety of concerns relating to amenity, the environment and appropriateness of the proposed use	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Channel Island Power Station	Territory Generation		Variety of concerns relating to amenity, the environment and appropriateness of the proposed use	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome
Darwin LNG, Wickham Point	ConocoPhillips		Impact on operations Impact on local traffic	Med / Ability to somewhat

Extractive industry licence holders				impact outcome
	MA22264 – Bob Kerr Transport Pty Ltd EMP1136 – Innocente Transport Pty Ltd	Bob Kerr: 8932 2444 Innocente Transport: 8932 2619 DPIR may assist with further details.	Impact on operations Impact on local traffic	Med / Ability to somewhat impact outcome

B Completed Engagement Stages

Phase	Who? Key stakeholders	Why	How?	Status
PHASE 1 July-August 2019  Early Engagement	Who? - Key Stakeholders: Political Level NTG Departments (Executive) Councils (Elected and Executive) Local Members	Why? Build and strengthen support within both State and Local Government for the project and understand key messages Manage stakeholder expectations about approvals process, project timeframes, size and scale and what it means to them Identify any critical issues early Build awareness about opportunities to benefit the Darwin region Confirm other key stakeholders to engage with and the most appropriate method. Use feedback gathered to refine key messages and project materials	How? Series of briefings with all key agencies with a model presentation (to be tailored to audience) Pre-release briefings with local MPs and Council reps Through established Government major project governance structure	Complete
PHASE 2 September - December 2019  Building awareness	Who? - Key Stakeholders: Media Outlets Councils Special Interest, Business, Community and Environmental Groups Community Aboriginal Stakeholders	Why? Proactive communication controls the messaging and ensures accurate information is disseminated in a timely manner. Create awareness about the project and benefits to Darwin region whilst managing expectations about size and scale of project.	How? Key messages; FAQs; project fact sheet; media release Project presentations/briefings Communication Channels – TNG website; NT EPA website and notification channels Stakeholder meetings Pop up community information stands in high traffic areas within communities with a higher level of interest in the project and or in close	Complete

Phase	Who? Key stakeholders	Why	How?	Status
		Identify and plan accordingly to mitigate social risks early Increase understanding about the EIS process and awareness about consultation opportunities	proximity to the project site	

