

Referral form

Refer proposed action or strategic proposal under the *Environment Protection Act 2019*

Before you fill in the form

Guiding notes

- This form must be read in conjunction with environmental impact assessment guidance for proponents on the [NT EPA website](#) including Referring a proposal to the Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority (NT EPA).
- This form contains five (5) parts:
 - Part A – Proponent details
 - Part B – Proposal details
 - Part C – Referral details
 - Part D – Referrer declaration
 - Part E – Nominated contact.
- This form contains two checklists:
 - Cross reference of matters addressed in the referral report
 - Proponent's general duty.
- This form will be published.
- Further guidance or example responses are provided below in light grey text.

These guiding notes may be deleted before submitting the referral form and report.

PART A – Proponent details	
Name of the proponent <i>(legal entity)</i>	Department Of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) The Office of the Supervising Scientist (OSS)
Proponent details	<i>Name:</i> Keith Tayler (Supervising Scientist) <i>Physical address:</i> 3 Pederson Road, Darwin, Northern Territory, 0820. <i>Postal address:</i> GPO Box 3090 Canberra, ACT, 2601 <i>Phone:</i> (08) 8919 1000 <i>Email:</i> Keith.Tayler@dcceew.gov.au
Proponent Trading Name if relevant	The Office of the Supervising Scientist
Australian Business Number/s Australian Company Number/s	Department Of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) 63 573 932 849

<p>Provide a description of the organisational structure with respect to responsibility of the proposed action, environmental approvals and implementation.</p>	<p>The Director of National Parks (DNP) is the legal entity responsible for managing the Kakadu National Park. The DNP is the licence holder for the Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency (ARPANSA) source licence (S0340).</p> <p>The Office of the Supervising Scientist (OSS) is a Branch within the department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW).</p> <p>The Supervising Scientist is the licence nominee for the source licence, responsible for the management of the source and licence conditions on behalf of the DNP. A contract exists between the DNP and the Supervising Scientist for this division of responsibilities.</p> <p>DCCEEW has provided funding for the rehabilitation project through an administered funds budget process. The Office of the Supervising Scientist (OSS) will manage the execution of this proposal on behalf of the DNP.</p> <p>OSS is responsible for gaining and managing all environmental and cultural approvals associated with the project.</p>
<p>Joint-venture partners (if applicable)</p>	<p>N/A</p>

PART B – Outline of the proposal and location

Outline of the proposed action or strategic proposal (proposal)

<p>Name of proposal</p>	<p>Koongarra Remediation Project</p>
<p>Provide a brief summary (one or two paragraphs) of the proposal including the activity type/ industry/ duration.</p>	<p>The project is to rehabilitate the Koongarra site, a legacy uranium exploration site located in the Kakadu National Park (KNP), 250 kilometres (km) east of Darwin.</p> <p>The project involves the removal and relocation of the Koongarra Source material, which consists of uranium core samples, core trays and crushed samples, from the Proposal area for temporary storage at the OSS Jabiru Field Station (JFS), located on the Ranger Mine Mineral Lease. The Proposal has three key components:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Access track works – refurbishment of the existing access track from Kubara Pools carpark to the Proposal area. 2. Removal of the Koongarra Source – repackaging the Koongarra Source into 205L sealed drums placed into sea containers for transportation to the JFS for storage.

	<p>3. Removal of legacy exploration infrastructure – decommissioning of groundwater bores and exploration drill holes, removal of legacy exploration camp infrastructure such as old sheds, pickets and concrete slabs, and transported to an appropriately licensed facility.</p> <p>At completion of the deconstruction and disposal of legacy infrastructure and the Koongarra Source material, the site will naturally revegetate and integrate into the surrounding KNP.</p>
Location	
<p>Provide location details as:</p> <p>a) street address, suburb</p>	<p>Former Koongarra mineral lease: Kubara Road, Kakadu, NT 0822</p> <p>JFS storage location; Off the Arnhem Highway, Kakadu, NT 0822</p>
<p>b) tenement, lot/section numbers, town/hundred, NT Portion or pastoral lease numbers, as applicable</p>	<p>NT Portion 1662</p>
<p>c) the nearest town, recognisable feature, and distance and direction from that town/feature to the site of the proposed action.</p> <p>If the proposal includes several locations, provide location details for each location. For example, a mine at location 1 and a processing site at location 2.</p>	<p>Jabiru Township – approximately 22 km north of the former Koongarra lease area and 5 km west of the JFS.</p>
<p>Name of the Local Government Area/s in which the proposal is located.</p>	<p>West Arnhem Region</p>
<p>What is the land tenure type, and proposed land tenure type ?</p>	<p>Kakadu Aboriginal Land Trust</p> <p>Aboriginal land (Scheduled under <i>Aboriginal Land Rights Act 1976</i>) as part of the Kakadu National Park</p>
<p>Does the proponent have the legal (land) access required for the implementation of all aspects of the proposal?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If no, provide details of legal access authorisations /agreements / tenure is required and from whom.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>If yes, provide an indication of legal access authorisations / agreement / tenure.</p>

	<p>The project area is Aboriginal land, leased to the DNP for the Kakadu National Park (KNP). The DNP manages the KNP via the Kakadu Management Plan 2016-2026, with the Kakadu Board of Management (KBOM) setting policy for managing the park, alongside the DNP. KBOM approval will be obtained for the project.</p> <p>Traditional Owner consultation have been conducted, and consent has been provided to access the land for the source management and rehabilitation project.</p>
<p>Is the land zoned under the NT Planning Scheme?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>If yes, what is/are the zoning/s?</p>
<p>What is the current land use of the proposal site/s?</p>	<p>The area was formally a mining exploration lease. The lease was dissolved in 2014, and the area was incorporated into Kakadu National Park.</p> <p>The area is Aboriginal Land, leased to the Director of National Parks.</p> <p>The area currently has restricted access due to the presence of legacy exploration infrastructure.</p>
<p>What is the approximate distance (direct line) and direction to the closest human sensitive receptor?</p> <p>For example, residence, accommodation, hospital, school, homeland from the proposal.</p>	<p>Jabiru Township – approximately 22 km north of the former Koongarra lease area and 5 km west of the JFS.</p>
<p>What is the proposed end land use of the proposal site/s</p>	<p>Ongoing as part of KNP.</p>
<p>Consultation</p>	
<p>Provide an overview of consultation undertaken specific to the proposal and potential environmental impacts.</p>	<p>The key stakeholders relevant to the project are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Djok clan - Traditional Owner of the project area • Other Traditional Owner groups – neighbouring clans – Murrumburr and Mirarr <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Other Aboriginal groups may be identified by the NLC through due process

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Northern Land Council (NLC) • Parks Australia • Commonwealth Government • Northern Territory Government • General public/users of the KNP. <p>Formal NLC Consultation with traditional owners were held on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 21st August 2024, and • 9th September 2025
<p>List the matters raised in consultation and identify how the proposal has been modified to respond to stakeholder feedback.</p>	<p>The rehabilitation of the project area was requested by the Traditional Owner at a Kakadu Board of Management Meeting. As such, OSS have been actively engaged with the Traditional Owner of the Koongarra site (project area) directly and through the NLC as part of this project to ensure the remediation objectives will be met in a manner that is consistent with stakeholder expectations.</p> <p>In determining the project requirements, the NLC undertook consultation with the Traditional Owner on 8 August 2024 to inform OSS of the formal requirements for conducting works onsite.</p> <p>NLC undertook a further round of consultation with the traditional owner 9th September 2025 to advise that the proposed use of the old fire break on the southern side on the outlier (Nourlangie rock) to the Burringkuy carpark as the access route to the project area was not viable from an environmental, economic, public safety and possible cultural perspective.</p> <p>OSS has undertaken numerous site visits with key stakeholders including the Traditional Owner, Northern Land Council, representatives from Parks Australia, KNP and OSS. The visits were undertaken to discuss the matters associated with the sacred sites along the access track, and other proposed works on site. The project considered alternative access routes to avoid the sacred sites between the Kubara Pools carpark and the project area. Based on further site investigations and site visits and consultation with the Traditional Owner, there was a consensus reached that the Kubara Pools access route was the feasible route to use for the project.</p>

PART C – Referral type

<p>What type of proposal is being referred?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> proposed action</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> strategic proposal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> proponent initiated EIS referral</p>
<p>Provide a brief justification including the reasons why you consider the action may have a significant impact on the environment and is referred to the NT EPA.</p> <p>Refer to section 11 of the EP Act and the NT EPA's environmental factors and objectives.</p>	<p>The project involves temporary disturbance of vegetation and habitat within KNP which is a sensitive environment.</p> <p>Legacy mining infrastructure and the Koongarra Source material will also be deconstructed, repackaged, transported and disposed, posing a potential risk to soil quality and human health if materials are not handled or transported properly.</p> <p>KNP hold significant Aboriginal archaeological and cultural values. There are registered sacred sites in proximity to the project area.</p>
<p>Does the proposal involve an action that may be or is a controlled action under the Commonwealth <i>Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999</i> (EPBC Act)?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>Has the proposed action been referred?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No – however, is being referred</p> <p>If yes, provide the date referred and reference number (EPBC number)?</p> <p>Date: EPBC number:</p>
<p>If referred, has a decision been made on whether the proposed action is a controlled action?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If yes, check the appropriate decision outcome and provide the decision in an attachment.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Decision – controlled action</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Decision – not a controlled action</p>
<p>PART D – Proponent referrer details and declaration</p> <p>*The referral form must include the declaration signed by the proponent, or where the proponent is an organisation or business, the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) or duly authorised delegate within the proponent company.</p>	
<p>Who is referring this proposal?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Proponent</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Authorised representative within proponent entity</p>

<p>Does the proponent request that the NT EPA treat any part of the information in the referral as confidential under section 281(2) of the EP Act?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</p> <p>If yes, provide an application in accordance with regulation 271 of the Environment Protection Regulations 2020 and submit the confidential information as a separate attachment</p>
---	--

Referral declaration by proponent:

I, John Paul Miller, declare that I am authorised to refer this proposed action/strategic proposal on behalf of the Department Of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, the Office of the Supervising Scientist and further declare that:

- the attached environmental impact assessment documents have been prepared in accordance with the EP Act and EP Regulations; and
- the attached environmental impact assessment documents (including attachments) are true; and
- the attached environmental impact assessment documents do not provide false or misleading information and I know it is an offence to provide false and misleading information, noting the penalties under section 260 of the EP Act, and section 119 of the *Criminal Code Act 1983*; and
- the proponent fully understands that referral under the EP Act does not limit, in any way, the requirements of the proponent to ensure approvals under any other regulatory regime are applied for, and adhered to; and
- the proponent has fulfilled its general duty in accordance with section 43 of the EP Act.

Note: if the NT EPA determine that an environmental approval is required, the proponent will be requested to provide supporting documents during the assessment process such as details to support that the person is a fit and proper person to hold an environmental approval in accordance with section 62 of the EP Act

Name: (print)
 John Paul Miller

Signature:



Date: 31 October 2025

Position: Acting Supervising Scientist

Organisation: The Office of the Supervising Scientist

Email: john.miller@dcceew.gov.au

**Address: 3 Pederson Road, Darwin, Northern Territory
GPO Box 3090 Canberra ACT 2601**

PART E – Nominated contact

<p>Contact details for proponent contact (provide the details for the person who will be corresponding with the NT EPA on the proposal)</p>	<p><i>Business name:</i> Office of the Supervising Scientist <i>Name of primary contact:</i> Brayden Miller <i>Physical address:</i> 3 Pederson Road, Darwin, NT 0820. <i>Postal address:</i> GPO Box 3090 Canberra, ACT, 2601 <i>Phone:</i> 0415 205 554 <i>Email:</i> Brayden.miller@dcceew.gov.au</p>
<p>Contact details of consultant (if relevant, provide the details for the person who will be corresponding with the NT EPA on the proposal on behalf of the proponent)</p>	<p><i>Business name:</i> EcOz Environmental Consultants <i>Name of primary contact:</i> Emma Lewis <i>Physical address:</i> Level 1, 70 Cavenagh St, Darwin NT <i>Postal address:</i> GPO Box 381, Darwin NT 0800 <i>Phone:</i> 08 8981 1100 <i>Email:</i> emma.lewis@ecozy.com.au</p>

CHECKLIST 1 - Cross reference of matters addressed in the referral report (for more detail refer to the Referring a proposal to the NT EPA guidance)

Item	See Referral guidance for further detail on information requirements	Report section / page
<i>Publication statement</i>	Provide name and qualifications of relevant contributors to the referral.	vii
<i>Executive summary</i>	Overview of the proposal, its potential for significant impact and key conclusions.	ii
<i>Introduction</i>	Include a brief introduction to the proposal and the proponent (noting proponent details are also to be included in the referral form / environmental approval application form).	Section 1
<i>Proposal description - Key components</i>	<p>Provide a clear and detailed description of the proposal, referencing maps and spatial information.</p> <p>Provide a key components summary table.</p> <p>Identify uncertainty / likely changes if particular elements of a proposal require further design at the time of referral.</p> <p>Provide an account of past, present and reasonably foreseeable future development, operations, or industries that are related the current proposal.</p>	Section 3.1

<p><i>Proposal description - Location and regional context</i></p>	<p>Location and regional context.</p>	<p>Section 2</p>
<p><i>Proposal description – Alternatives (options)</i></p>	<p>Describe any alternatives (location, timeframes, activities) considered or are under consideration in scoping and developing the proposal.</p> <p>Describe how the analysis of alternatives accounted for the <i>principles of environment protection and management</i> (Part 2 of the EP Act).</p> <p>Justification for the preferred/selected option.</p> <p>Describe any assumptions critical to your assessment.</p>	<p>Section 4</p>
<p><i>Proposal description – Application of the:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>Principles of environment protection and management</i> (Part 2) - <i>General duty of proponents</i> (s43) <p><i>Consultation</i></p> <p><u>Refer to NT EPA Stakeholder Engagement guidance 2020</u></p> <p><i>Strategic and statutory context</i></p> <p><i>Environmental Factors</i></p>	<p>Discuss how the proposal accounts for the <i>principles of environment protection and management</i> (Part 2 of the EP Act) and the general duty of proponents provided for under section 43 of the EP Act:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principles of ecologically sustainable development • Environmental decision-making hierarchy • Waste management hierarchy. <p>The EP Act (section 3 and section 43) puts an obligation on the proponent to consult with stakeholders and the community in the development of the proposal.</p> <p>As an example, the referral should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a description of stakeholder engagement and community consultation undertaken • an outline of the method and process of consultation with stakeholders • a summary of the key matters raised during consultation • any changes made as a result of consultation • the ongoing consultation, and options for feedback • whether the consultation has or has not been undertaken in accordance with NT EPA guidance on Stakeholder Engagement • whether the consultation has or hasn't been undertaken in accordance with the section 43 (EP Act) general duty of proponents (see Checklist 2). <p>Table discussing proposal specific legislation, policies, and guidelines that are and may be applicable to the proposal and the sequencing and status of those, is provided.</p> <p>The sections below relate to information that describes the potential impacts of the proposal on the NT EPA's Environmental factors.</p>	<p>Section 5.3</p> <p>Section 6</p> <p>Section 5.1 and 5.2</p> <p>Section 7 Appendix H</p>

<p><i>Environmental Factors and objectives</i></p> <p>Presence/absence of environmental values</p>	<p>Information requirements for each environmental factor identified by the pre-referral screening tool are provided (any technical studies and surveys included).</p> <p><i>Repeat for each NT EPA Factor being considered for your proposal/referral</i></p> <p>The presence or absence of relevant environmental values and sensitivities are verified.</p> <p>Specify the source and currency of information (e.g. desktop assessments, and/or field surveys, the methods used, dates, sources, and whether the approach is conducted in accordance with relevant regulatory and industry guideline).</p>	<p>Section 7.2.1</p> <p>Section 7.3.1</p> <p>Section 7.4.1</p> <p>Section 7.5.1</p>
<p><i>Environmental Factors and objectives</i></p> <p>Potential impacts and consistency with relevant policy/guidance</p>	<p><i>Repeat for each NT EPA Factor being considered for your proposal/referral</i></p> <p>Assessment of potential impacts (negative, direct, indirect, cumulative, short and long-term) of the proposal.</p> <p>Relevant policy and guidance described.</p> <p>Residual / remaining impact to the environmental factor described.</p>	<p>Section 7.2.2</p> <p>Section 7.3.2</p> <p>Section 7.4.2</p> <p>Section 7.5.2</p>
<p><i>Environmental Factors and objectives</i></p> <p>Environment protection and management</p>	<p><i>Repeat for each NT EPA Factor being considered for your proposal/referral</i></p> <p>Describe in terms of management hierarchies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> measures proposed to avoid, mitigate or offset (if appropriate) effectiveness of proposed measures and the level of confidence of implementation whether the NT EPA’s objective for the environmental factor is likely to be met. 	<p>Section 7.2.2</p> <p>Section 7.3.2</p> <p>Section 7.4.2</p> <p>Section 7.5.2</p>
<p><i>Environmental Factors and objectives</i></p> <p>Cumulative impacts</p>	<p><i>Repeat for each NT EPA Factor being considered for your proposal/referral</i></p> <p>Describe potential cumulative impacts.</p>	<p>Section 8</p>

CHECKLIST 2 - Consideration of the Proponent’s general duty (in accordance with section 43 of the EP Act

Section 43 General duty of proponents	Done	Comment
The following principles of ecologically sustainable development must be taken into consideration in the design of the proposed action.		Provide comment here
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Decision-making principle 	✓	Both long-term and short-term environmental and equitable matters have been considered in this Referral. The Proposal design included

		active consultation with the Traditional Owner and representatives from Parks Australia and KNP management.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principle of proportionality 	✓	The principle of proportionality has been applied through the decision-making process. Avoidance and mitigation measures identified are proportionate to the risk of impact posed by the Proposal.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Precautionary principle 	✓	The precautionary principle has been applied throughout the Proposal to date and in this referral. Where there is uncertainty in potential impacts and mitigations required to manage these impacts, this has been discussed, with proposed actions to address this uncertainty either already underway or commitments made to address the uncertainty. OSS commits to the inclusion of adaptive management measures where relevant and to act in accordance with the precautionary principle in future. The Proposal will be designed to avoid and mitigate environmental impacts to the greatest extent practicable, to restore the environmental value of the site.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principle of evidence-based decision-making 	✓	The Proposal is being informed by relevant and recent technical information such as Sampling Analysis and Quality Plan (SAQP) (Appendix G). Regular inspections are required to be undertaken in accordance with the ARPANSA licence and regular monitoring is undertaken to inform the remediation activities (e.g. Appendix C).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principle of intergenerational and intergenerational equity 	✓	OSS is committed to undertaking a robust remediation strategy to remove all legacy exploration infrastructure to restore the environment's health, diversity and productivity for the benefit of present and future generations.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principle of sustainable use 	✓	The Proposal is to restore the natural resources. OSS do not propose to use natural resources in an unsustainable manner at any stage during the Proposal.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principle of conservation of biological diversity and ecological integrity 	✓	The objective of the Proposal is to strengthen the biological diversity and ecological integrity of the Proposal area.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Principle of improved valuation, pricing and incentive mechanisms 	✓	Environmental outcomes are considered by OSS when comparing options for the remediation.
The following management hierarchies must be taken into consideration in the design of the proposed action.		Provide comment here

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Environmental decision-making hierarchy 	<p>✓</p>	<p>OSS have undertaken previous soil and radiation surveys and Validation sampling beneath potential contamination sites should be undertaken in accordance with the <i>National Environment Protection (Assessment of Site Contamination) Measure 1999</i>. Decision-making regarding the Proposal design considers avoidance of environmental values such as the avoidance of any land clearing and the selection of the most environmentally suitable access track.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Waste management hierarchy 	<p>✓</p>	<p>The waste management hierarchy will be used for the waste in the Proposal where possible. The remediation activities will avoid the production of waste beyond what is required to remove the legacy exploration infrastructure and the Koongarra Source. All listed wastes will be disposed of in compliance with the WMPC Act. The Koongarra Source (radioactive waste) is regulated under the ARPANSA Act and regulations, with specific conditions required under the Licence (S0340). The Koongarra Source is being stored until an appropriate disposal location is confirmed.</p>
<p>Other section 43 considerations</p>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Have communities that may be affected by the proposed action been provided with information and opportunities for consultation? 	<p>✓</p>	<p>The Proposal area and the JFS are not publicly accessible. The key stakeholders are the Traditional Owner, representatives from Parks Australia and KNP.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has consultation with affected communities, including Aboriginal communities' been undertaken in a culturally appropriate manner? 	<p>✓</p>	<p>OSS have engaged with Kakadu National Park, the NLC and directly with the Traditional Owner throughout the Proposal design process. Consultations with the Traditional Owner have occurred through NLC to ensure this occurred in a culturally appropriate manner.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Has community knowledge and understanding (including scientific and traditional knowledge and understanding) of the natural and cultural values of areas that may be impacted by the proposed action been sought and documented? 	<p>✓</p>	<p>The Proposal acts in the interest of the Traditional Owner to restore the environmental, cultural and world heritage values that are currently diminished by the legacy exploration equipment. Remediation activities will also be undertaken in a manner to protect the rights and interests of the Traditional Owners by avoiding any further impact.</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Have Aboriginal values and the rights and interests of Aboriginal communities' been addressed in relation to areas that may be impacted by the proposed action? 	<p>✓</p>	<p>An archaeological survey is being completed from the Kubara carpark turnoff to the Koongarra exploration site, and a CHMP is being prepared. The plan will include specific methodology and details for the protection of rock art.</p>

How to submit

Email your completed form to Environmental Assessments, Department of Environment, Parks and Water Security at eia.ntepa@nt.gov.au

Further information

For further information, contact Environmental Assessments, Department of Environment, Parks and Water Security (DEPWS) at eia.ntepa@nt.gov.au or phone 08 8924 4218.

Collection notice

Purpose: The purpose for the collection of information using this form is to provide the NT EPA with the relevant information needed to administer the environmental impact assessment process under the *Environment Protection Act 2019*.

Failure to collect information: If the DEPWS does not collect this information, then correspondence will continue to be with the proponent last notified to the NT EPA/Minister and it may have implications in the Minister's consideration of a fit and proper person under s 62 of the Environment Protection Act and environmental approval holder entity/name.

Who is collecting the information: The information is collected by the DEPWS, who provide services to the NT EPA/Minister.

Who to contact for more information: The [DEPWS Privacy Policy](#) sets out how you can access and/or correct your personal information and how you can make a complaint if you feel we have not complied with the [Privacy Act 1988](#).

All enquiries about access, correction or to make a complaint should be directed to the Privacy Officer on (08) 8999 4410 business days, 8.00am - 4.21pm or write to PO Box 496, Palmerston, NT 0831 or email StrategicServices.DEPWS@nt.gov.au