DRAFT

Environmental Impact Statement

Proposed Barramundi Fish Farm at Port Patterson, Northern Territory



Prepared by Enesar Consulting Pty Ltd

> for MARINE HARVEST

May 2006

(Report No. HO02072/02-Port Patterson EIS)

Contents

Cor	ntents			i
Foreword			1	
1	Executive Summary			2
	1.1	Introduction		
	1.2	Descriptior	2	
	1.3	Objectives	2	
	1.4	Location		3
	1.5	Schedule		3
	1.6	Layout and	1 Infrastructure	3
	1.7	Preferred I	Design Criteria for the Facility	4
	1.8	Services a	nd Support	5
		1.8.1	Transport	5
		1.8.2	Staffing	5
		1.8.3	Power, Potable Water and Storage	5
	1.9	Farm Oper	ration	5
		1.9.1	Emissions from the Operation	6
		1.9.2	Chemicals	6
		1.9.3	Ongoing Management, Maintenance and	
		Adminis	strative Requirements	6
	1.10	Decommis	sioning and Rehabilitation	6
	1.11	Legislative Requirements		
	1.12	Alternatives		
	1.13	Existing Environment		
	1.14	Physical and Biological Environment		
	1.15	Port Patterson Baseline Investigation		

2

3

9
10
10
15
19
20
22
22
22
23
23
23
23
25
25
26
27
28
28
29
29
30
30
31
32
33
33
34
34
35
35
35
36
37
38
38
38
39
39
41
41
41
41

		3.8.10 Ongoing Management, Maintenance and	40
	0.0	Administrative Requirements	43
	3.9	Decommissioning and Rehabilitation	43
	3.10	Legislative Requirements	44
		3.10.1 Lease Requirements	44
		3.10.2 Native Title	44
	A 14	3.10.3 Compliance with Applicable Standards	44
4	Altern		46
	4.1	Not Proceeding with the Proposal	46
	4.2	Alternative Locations or Layout for the Whole Proposal	46
	4.3	Alternatives for Components of the Proposal	47
		4.3.1 Steel Nets	47
		4.3.2 Mooring Devices	47
		4.3.3 Operational Facilities	47
-	4.4	Alternative Environmental Management Techniques	48
5		ng Environment	49
	5.1	Regional Setting	49
		5.1.1 General	49
		5.1.2 Climatic Factors	49
		5.1.3 Soils and Geomorphology	49
		5.1.4 Water Flows and Quality	50
	5.0	5.1.5 Population, Buildings and Infrastructure	51
	5.2	Physical and Biological Environment	51
		5.2.1 Existing Environment	51
		5.2.1.1 Hydrology and Water Quality	52
		5.2.1.2 Bathymetry	53
		5.2.1.3 Existing Coastal and Marine Flora Species	53
		5.2.1.4 Existing Marine and Coastal Fauna Species	54
		5.2.2 Air Quality, Noise, Lighting and Visual Amenity	57
	5.3	Port Patterson Baseline Investigation	58
		5.3.1 Investigation	58
		5.3.2 Findings	59
		5.3.3 Conclusions	61
6	Poten	tial Impacts from Fin-fish Aquaculture	62
	6.1	Potential Impacts from Construction of the Farm	62
	6.2	Potential Impacts of Escaped Fish	62
	6.3	Potential Impacts from Fish Faeces and Nutrient Loads	62
	6.4	Potential Impacts from Excess Feed and Feed Quality	63
	6.5	Potential Impacts from Fish Feeding on Naturally-Occurring Food – Removal of Food from the Food Chain	63
	6.6	Potential Impacts from Fish Aggregation	63
	6.7	Potential Impacts from the Introduction of Diseases and	
		Parasites and the Treatment of Fish using Introduced Chemicals	63

6.8	Potential Impacts from Waste Generation and Hazardous	
	Materials	64
6.9	Potential Impacts from the Loss of Nets	65
6.10	Potential Impacts to Hydrodynamics	65
6.11	Potential Impacts on and from Predators	65
6.12	Potential Impacts on Flora	66
6.13	Potential Impacts on Bird, Reptile, Fish and Mammal Habitats	66
6.14	Potential Impacts on EPBC Act-listed Threatened and Migratory Species	66
6.15	Potential Impacts from Disturbance to Land Surface	67
6.16	Potential Impacts to Air Quality, Noise, Lighting and Visual Amenity	67
6.17	Potential Impacts on Social and Recreational Values	68
6.18	Potential Impacts on Local Infrastructure	68
6.19	Potential Impacts on Archaeological, Historical, Cultural and	
	Aboriginal Sites	69
6.20	Potential Impacts on Marine Traffic	69
6.21	Potential Impacts on Staff of Pest Insects	69
6.22	Potential Impacts from the Farm on Personnel Emergencies	69
6.23	Potential Impacts on the Barramundi Market	70
6.24	Unknown, Unpredictable or Irreversible Impacts	70
Assess	ment of Impacts from the Port Hurd Pilot Farm	71
7.1	Port Hurd Environmental Monitoring Results	71
	7.1.1 Port Hurd Investigations	71
	7.1.2 Findings	72
	7.1.2.1 Gullala Inlet Control Site	72
	7.1.3 Implications and Recommendations	74
7.2	Comparison of Port Patterson versus Port Hurd Operations	74
7.3	Paspaley Pearls Oyster Studies	76
Discus	sion of Potential Impacts and Risk Assessment	77
8.1	Construction	79
	8.1.1 Risk Assessment	79
8.2	Escaped Fish	79
	8.2.1 Interbreeding	79
	8.2.2 Disease and Parasite Transfer	80
	8.2.3 Competition with Wild Populations and Increased Predation	80
	8.2.4 Risk Assessment	80
8.3	Fish Faeces and Nutrient Loads	81
	8.3.1 Risk Assessment	82
8.4	Excess Feed and Feed Quality	82
	8.4.1 Risk Assessment	82
8.5	Removal of Fish from the Food Chain	83
8.6	Fish Aggregation	84
	8.6.1 Risk Assessment	84
8.7	Introduction of Diseases and Parasites	85

7

8

	8.7.1 Risk Assessment	85
8.8	Introduction of Chemicals from Treatment of Fish Parasites or	00
	Diseases	86 87
0.0	8.8.1 Risk Assessment Waste Generation and Hazardous Materials	87
8.9	8.9.1 Risk Assessment	87
8.10	Loss of Nets	88
0.10	8.10.1 Risk Assessment	88
8.11	Hydrodynamics	88
0.11	8.11.1 Risk Assessment	88
8.12	Predators	89
0.12	8.12.1 Risk Assessment	89
8.13	Flora	90
0110	8.13.1 Risk Assessment	90
8.14	Bird, Reptile, Fish and Mammal Habitats	90
	8.14.1 Risk Assessment	91
8.15	EPBC Act-listed Threatened and Migratory Species	91
	8.15.1 Risk Assessment	92
8.16	Land Surface	93
	8.16.1 Risk Assessment	93
8.17	Air Quality, Noise, Lighting and Visual Amenity	93
	8.17.1 Greenhouse Gases	94
	8.17.2 Risk Assessment	94
8.18	Social and Recreational	95
	8.18.1 Risk Assessment	95
8.19	Local Infrastructure	95
	8.19.1 Risk Assessment	95
8.20	Archaeological, Historical, Cultural and Aboriginal Sites	95
	8.20.1 Risk Assessment	96
8.21	Marine Traffic	96
	8.21.1 Risk Assessment	97
8.22	Insect Pests	97
	8.22.1 Risk Assessment	97
8.23	Personnel Emergencies	98
	8.23.1 Risk Assessment	98
8.24	Risk Assessment Summary	98
	ed Mitigation, Management and Monitoring	103
9.1	Maintenance and Monitoring Manual	103
9.2	Construction	103
9.3	Fish Escape	104
9.4	Disease and Parasite Transfer	104
9.5	Fish Faeces and Nutrient Loads	106
9.6	Excess Fish Feed and Feed Quality	107
9.7	Waste	109
	9.7.1 General Mitigation Measures for Waste	109

9

		9.7.2	Solid Putrescible Waste	109
		9.7.3	Sewage and Grey Water	110
		9.7.4	Solid Inert Waste	111
		9.7.5	Prescribed Waste	111
		9.7.6	Dead Farm Fish	112
	9.8	Storage, H	landling and Containment of Chemical and	
		Hazardous	s Substances	113
	9.9	Nets and M	Moorings	115
	9.10	Hydrodyna	amics	116
	9.11	Water and	Sediment Quality	116
	9.12	Manageme	ent of Predators	118
	9.13	Bird, Repti	le, Fish and Mammal Habitats	119
	9.14	Flora		120
	9.15	EPBC Act-	listed Threatened and Migratory Species	120
	9.16	Land Surfa	ace	121
	9.17	Air Quality	, Noise, Lighting and Visual Amenity	122
	9.18	Social and	Recreational	123
	9.19	Archaeolo	gical, Historical, Cultural and Aboriginal Sites	124
	9.20	Marine Tra	affic	124
	9.21	Mitigation	of Nuisance and Health Risks from Pest Insects	125
	9.22	Personnel	Emergencies	125
	9.23	Manageme Barramuno	ent and Monitoring of Quality of Farmed	126
10	Enviror			120
10	10.1	mental Mai	-	127
	10.1	10.1.1	Health Safety Environment and Quality Policy Objectives	127
	10.2		al Standards	127
	10.2		Operational Procedures	120
	10.3		and Reporting Strategies	129
11			t and Consultation	129
	11.1	November		134
	11.2	Future Cor		134
12			Isuitation	134
12	Conclusions References		137	
				137
Information Sheet		130		
Figures			139	
Appendices			140	

vi

Tables

Table 3.1:	Coordinates of Lease Area	27
Table 3.2:	Comparison Summary of the Mooring and Net Design Arrangements	33
Table 3.3:	Typical Composition of Fish Feed	37
Table 3.4:	Estimate of Quantities of Liquid and Solid Wastes	40
Table 3.5:	List of Chemicals Stored on Site, based on the Port Hurd Pilot	
	Program Chemical Register	41
Table 3.6:	Applicable Environmental and Health Legislation	45
Table 5.1:	Comparison of Water Quality in Port Patterson and Port Hurd	52
Table 5.2:	List of Threatened and Migratory Species from EPBC Web Site for the Proposed Development Site	55
Table 7.1:	Comparisons of Port Patterson versus Port Hurd Operations	75
Table 8.1:	Marine Harvest Risk Ranking System	78
Table 8.2:	Maximum Amount of Discharge (kg) per 1000 kg of Fish Produced	81
Table 8.3:	Summary of Potential Greenhouse Gases Emissions from the	
	Proposed Port Patterson Barramundi Farm	94
Table 8.4:	Risk Assessments Summary	99
Table 10.1:	Summary of Proposed Monitoring Requirements	130
	Plates	
Plate 1:	Illustration of the type of 1 st generation fish-pen infrastructure proposed t the site	or
Plate 2:	Diver Carrying Out Repairs on Port Hurd Farm Nets	
	Figures	
Figure 1:	General Location Map	

- Figure 2: Proposed Lease Corner Locations
- Figure 3: Proposed Lease Corner Locations (Satellite Image)
- Figure 4: Proposed Barge Design
- Figure 5: Mooring Arrays with Central Feeding Barge
- Figure 6: Mooring Design Details
- Figure 7: Sampling Investigation Locations for Port Patterson Baseline Study
- Figure 8: Sampling Investigation Locations for Port Hurd Biennial Monitoring
- Figure 9: Sampling Investigation Locations for Gullala Inlet Control Sites

Appendices

- A Environmental Impact Statement Requirement Letter from Northern Territory Natural Resources, Environment and Heritage
- B Environmental Impact Statement Guidelines for Port Patterson Proposed Aquaculture Farm
- C Port Patterson Bathymetry
- D Port Patterson Baseline Report by Aquenal Pty Ltd
- E Port Hurd Biennial Report by Aquenal Pty Ltd
- F Paspaley Pearls Oyster Trials at Port Hurd
- G Greenhouse Gases Calculations
- H Fish Feed Residue Monitoring
- I Marine Harvest Port Hurd Standard Operating Procedures