

## NOTICE OF DECISION AND STATEMENT OF REASONS

This notice of decision and statement of reasons is made under regulations 275 and 276 of the Environment Protection Regulations 2020 (EP Regulations)

Name of proposed action         Middle Arm Sustainable Development Precinct           NT EPA reference         EP2022/013           Proponent         Department of Logistics and Infrastructure (formerly Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Logistics)           Description of strategic proposal         A program for establishing a precinct on Middle Arm Peninsula, Darwin Harbour, as a strategic location for industrial development over 50 years with a focus on low-emission hydrocarbon, renewable hydrogen, carbon capture and storage, mineral processing and advanced manufacturing. Individual proposals within the scope of the strategic seassesment would undergo separate assessment and approal processes under the EP Act, subject to approval of the strategic proposal.           Nature of the strategic proposal         Industrial           Person authorised to make decision         Dr Paul Vogel AM Chairperson           Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority (NT EPA)         Delegate of the NT EPA under section 36 of the Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority Act 2012           Decision         To extend the specified period for the draft Environmental Impact Statement to be submitted to the NT EPA in accordance with regulation 274 of the EP Regulations           Original specified period         Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2024           Extended specified period         Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2026           Extended specified period of the decision         The proponent's request to extend the specified period to allow time to address comments from government in response to an adequ		
Proponent  Department of Logistics and Infrastructure (formerly Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Logistics)  Pescription of strategic proposal  A program for establishing a precinct on Middle Arm Peninsula, Darwin Harbour, as a strategic location for industrial development over 50 years with a focus on lowemission hydrocarbon, renewable hydrogen, carbon capture and storage, mineral processing and advanced manufacturing. Individual proposals within the scope of the strategic assessment would undergo separate assessment and approval processing and advanced manufacturing. Individual proposals within the scope of the strategic assessment would undergo separate assessment and approval processing under the EP Act, subject to approval of the strategic proposal.  Person authorised to Make decision  Dr Paul Vogel AM Chairperson Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority (NT EPA) Delegate of the NT EPA under section 36 of the Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority Act 2012  Pecision  To extend the specified period for the draft Environmental Impact Statement to be submitted to the NT EPA in accordance with regulation 274 of the EP Regulations  Original specified period  Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2024  Extended specified period  Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2026  Reasons for the decision  The proponent's request to extend the specified period to allow time to address comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to be:  • necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and • consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature		Middle Arm Sustainable Development Precinct
Planning and Logistics)  Description of strategic proposal    A program for establishing a precinct on Middle Arm Peninsula, Darwin Harbour, as a strategic location for industrial development over 50 years with a focus on low-emission hydrocarbon, renewable hydrogen, carbon capture and storage, mineral processing and advanced manufacturing, individual proposals within the scope of the strategic assessment would undergo separate assessment and approval processes under the EP Act, subject to approval of the strategic proposal.  Nature of the strategic proposal    Industrial    Person authorised to Make decision    Person authorised to Dr Paul Vogel AM   Chairperson   Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority (NT EPA)   Delegate of the NT EPA under section 36 of the Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority Act 2012  Decision    To extend the specified period for the draft Environmental Impact Statement to be submitted to the NT EPA in accordance with regulation 274 of the EP Regulations  Original specified period    Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2024  Extended specified period    Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2026  Period    The proponent's request to extend the specified period to allow time to address comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to be:  • necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and • consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature	NT EPA reference	EP2022/013
strategic location for industrial development over 50 years with a focus on low- emission hydrocarbon, renewable hydrogen, carbon capture and storage, mineral processing and advanced manufacturing. Individual proposals within the scope of the strategic assessment would undergo separate assessment and approval processes under the EP Act, subject to approval of the strategic proposal.  Nature of the strategic proposal  Person authorised to make decision  Dr Paul Vogel AM Chairperson Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority (NT EPA) Delegate of the NT EPA under section 36 of the Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority Act 2012  Decision  To extend the specified period for the draft Environmental Impact Statement to be submitted to the NT EPA in accordance with regulation 274 of the EP Regulations  Original specified period  Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2024  Extended specified period  The proponent's request to extend the specified period to allow time to address comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to be:  necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature	Proponent	
Person authorised to make decision  Person authorised to make decision  Possible Person Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority (NT EPA) Delegate of the NT EPA under section 36 of the Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority Act 2012  Decision  To extend the specified period for the draft Environmental Impact Statement to be submitted to the NT EPA in accordance with regulation 274 of the EP Regulations  Original specified period  Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2024  Extended specified period Period  The proponent's request to extend the specified period to allow time to address comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to be:  • necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and • consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature	-	strategic location for industrial development over 50 years with a focus on low- emission hydrocarbon, renewable hydrogen, carbon capture and storage, mineral processing and advanced manufacturing. Individual proposals within the scope of the strategic assessment would undergo separate assessment and approval
Chairperson Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority (NT EPA) Delegate of the NT EPA under section 36 of the Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority Act 2012  Decision To extend the specified period for the draft Environmental Impact Statement to be submitted to the NT EPA in accordance with regulation 274 of the EP Regulations  Original specified period Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2024  Extended specified period Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2026  Reasons for the decision The proponent's request to extend the specified period to allow time to address comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to be:  • necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and • consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature	_	Industrial
Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority (NT EPA) Delegate of the NT EPA under section 36 of the Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority Act 2012  Decision  To extend the specified period for the draft Environmental Impact Statement to be submitted to the NT EPA in accordance with regulation 274 of the EP Regulations  Original specified period  Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2024  Extended specified period  Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2026  Proponent's request to extend the specified period to allow time to address comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to be:  • necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and • consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature		Dr Paul Vogel AM
Delegate of the NT EPA under section 36 of the Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority Act 2012  Decision  To extend the specified period for the draft Environmental Impact Statement to be submitted to the NT EPA in accordance with regulation 274 of the EP Regulations  Original specified period  Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2024  Extended specified period  Reasons for the decision  The proponent's request to extend the specified period to allow time to address comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to be:  • necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and • consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature	make decision	Chairperson
Protection Authority Act 2012  Decision To extend the specified period for the draft Environmental Impact Statement to be submitted to the NT EPA in accordance with regulation 274 of the EP Regulations  Original specified period Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2024  Extended specified period Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2026  Reasons for the decision The proponent's request to extend the specified period to allow time to address comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to be:  • necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and • consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature		Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority (NT EPA)
Submitted to the NT EPA in accordance with regulation 274 of the EP Regulations  Original specified period Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2024  Extended specified period Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2026 period  Reasons for the decision The proponent's request to extend the specified period to allow time to address comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to be:  • necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and • consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature		
Extended specified period  Reasons for the decision  The proponent's request to extend the specified period to allow time to address comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to be:  • necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and • consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature	Decision	
Reasons for the decision The proponent's request to extend the specified period to allow time to address comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to be:  • necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and • consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature	Original specified period	Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2024
comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to be:  • necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and • consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature		Submit draft EIS to NT EPA by 7 October 2026
consistent with the purpose of the assessment process under section 42 of the EP Act.  Signature	Reasons for the decision	comments from government in response to an adequacy review is considered to
Signature  Wall  And		<ul> <li>necessary to meet the objects of the EP Act; and</li> </ul>
Magel		
Date of decision 1 October 2024	Signature	Mogel
	Date of decision	1 October 2024